

Phylogenetic inference

Hélène Chiapello, MIA-T, INRA Toulouse



- Introduction and basic concepts in phylogeny
 - Trees
 - Genetic distances and nucleotide substitution models
- Phylogenetic inference methods
 - Distance methods
 - Parcimony methods
 - Maximum likehood methods
 - Bayesian methods
- Phylogeny in practice
 - Testing tree topologies (bootstrap)
 - How to choose a method ?



geno toul D Introduction

- **Phylogenetics** is the study of evolutionary relationships among groups of organisms (e.g. species, populations)
- The result of phylogenetic studies is a hypothesis about the evolutionary history of taxonomic groups: their **phylogeny**
- Phylogenetic methods aims at representing similarities and differences between taxa using a phylogenetic tree
- Underlying asumption : taxa joined together in the tree are implied to have descended from a common ancestor through different speciation events

What is a phylogenetic tree ?

- In biology, a phylogenetic tree is a branching diagram for representing the inferred evolutionary relationships among various biological entities
- In mathematics, a tree is an undirected graph in which any two vertices are connected by exactly one simple path. In other words, any connected graph without simple cycles is a tree.



Phylogenetic Tree of Life

09/12/2014

Molecular phylogenetics

Here : we focus on **molecular phylogenetics**, based on different kind of **molecular sequence data**

Trees are infered from heritable characters like:

- Binary patterns : presence/absence, 0/1
- Microsattelites data, SNPs, Insertions, Deletions
- Aligned genetic sequences (ADN, ARN, proteins) in most cases

In molecular phylogenetics, we inferred the evolutionary history of sequences: it is not always the same of the one of the corresponding species !!!







Dataset construction

- Criteria to choose good sequences dataset: universality, conserved structure, no horizontal transfer, apropriate evolutionary rate.
- Some popular genes used in molecular phylogenetics
 - Procaryotes: ribosomal RNA (rRNA) 16S, betaglucosidase,...
 - Eukaryotes: rRNA 18S, actin, EF1, RPB1, mitochondrial genes,...
- Protein coding genes: nucleic alignments (if closed sequences) or proteic alignements (if distant sequences) of homolog sequences

Mutiple alignment as dataset

Hypothese: aligned sequences are homologous, *i.e.* vertically derived from an ancestral sequence of common ancestor



In phylogeny we will focus on **sites** of the alignment, either directly or indirectly via computation of a distance.

Homology vs Homoplasy

Homology is any similarity between shared characters that is due to their shared ancestry

Homoplasy occurs when characters are similars, but are not derived from a common ancestor

Homoplasies often result from parallel or convergent evolution



Phylogenetic inference should distinguish homoplasies from real phylogenetic signal Quality of the genetic dataset is essential !

Phylogenetic tree: terminology

Structure of an unrooted (a) and a rooted phylogenetic tree (b)





A tree is defined by its **topology** and its **branch lengths**.

Taxa are often named

- OTU: Operational Taxonomic Units
- HTU: Hypothetical Taxonomic Units

Phylogenetic tree: terminology

Structure of an unrooted (a) and a rooted phylogenetic tree (b)



- Phylogeny focus on bifurcating trees : each internal node is of degree 3
- Most phylogenetical methods produce unrooted trees

Introduction: how rooting a tree ?

Three methods exist:

A. Outgroup rooting

B. Midpoint rooting

C. Usage of external knowledge (ex. ancestral gene duplication)



09/12/2014

Is evolution always tree like ?

Some processes lead to non-bifurcating trees :



- Multifurcations on phylogenetic trees are konwn as polytomies an include trees with internal polytonies (partially unresolved tree) and star-like trees
- Networks are a way of representing two conflicting tree topologies



13

Number of tree topologies

• Number of possible unrooted (N_U) and rooted (N_T) trees for n=1 to 10 OTUs

n	Nu	N _r
3	1	3
4	3	15
5	15	105
6	105	945
7	945	10,395
8	10,395	135,135
9	135,135	2,027,025
10	2,027,025	34,459,425

N_u= 3x5x7x...(2n-5) =
$$\frac{(2n-5)!}{2^{n-3}(n-3)!}$$

$$N_{r} = \frac{(2n-3)!}{2^{n-2}(n-2)!}$$

 Conclusion: an exhaustive search of all possible trees is usually impossible => heuristic strategies

Seno E Terminology

Monophyletic : a group **Paraphyletic :** a group of of taxa is monophyletic if it taxa is paraphyletic if it includes all descendants does not include all from its inferred common descendants from its ancestor

inferred common ancestor

Polyphyletic : a group of taxa is poliphyletic if it includes some descendants but not the inferred common ancestor



Formats for phylogenetic trees

Two main formats: NEWICK and NEXUS





Sense Genetic (evolutionary) distances

A genetic (evolutionary) distance is a measure of the divergence between two genetic sequences

Calculation of distance between two sequences is a central point on phylogenetic analysis

- Pairwise distance calculation is the first step of distance matrix methods in phylogeny (UPGMA, NJ)
- Models of nucleotide/amino-acid sustitutions used in distance-calculation form the basis of likehood and Bayesian analysis methods

Distances and trees

- For sequences related by an evolutionary tree, the branch lengths represent the distance between the nodes (sequences) in the tree
- If a molecular clock hypothesis is assumed then the genetic distance is linearly proportional to the time elapsed



Observed and genetic distances

Observed nucleotide differences are not very informative !



Observed and genetic distances

- The observed distance can be computed by counting the number of sites where two sequences differ : it is expressed as the number of nucleotide differences per site (p-distance);
- The observed distance is an under-estimation of the genetic distance due to multiple substitutions per site and saturation : **substitution models** are used.



09/12/2014

Nucleotide substitution models

- Nucleotide substitution rate can be modeled as a stochastic process using time continuous stationary Markov models;
- Underlying asumptions :
 - At any given site, the rate of change from base i to j is independent from the base that occupied that site prior i (Markov property);
 - Substitution rates do not change over time (homogenity);
 - The relative frequencies of A, C, G, and T are at equilibrium (stationarity) Instantaneous rate matrix Q : Probability of from base i to base j :

$$Q = \begin{array}{ccccc} A & T & C & G \\ A & -\mu_{A} & \mu_{AT} & \mu_{AC} & \mu_{AG} \\ T & \mu_{TA} & -\mu_{T} & \mu_{TC} & \mu_{TG} \\ \mu_{CA} & \mu_{CT} & -\mu_{C} & \mu_{CG} \\ G & \mu_{GA} & \mu_{GT} & \mu_{GC} & -\mu_{G} \end{array}$$

$$P_{ij}(t) = e^{Q(t)}$$

The Jukes & Cantor model (JC, 1969)

- The simplest possible nucleotide substitution model :
 - All base frequencies are equal (0.25)
 - Only one parameter = the substitution rate μ
- Given the proportion p of sites that differ between the two sequences the Jukes-Cantor estimate of the evolutionary distance d is given by :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} * & \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} \\ \frac{\mu}{4} & * & \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} \\ \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} \\ \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} & \frac{\mu}{4} & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$d = -\frac{3}{4}\ln\left(1 - \frac{4}{3}p\right)$$

where p is the proportion of sites that show differences.

The JC model - exercise

Seq1 TCAAGTCAGGTTCGA | | | | | Seq2 TCCAGTTAGACTCGA | | | | | | Seq3 TTCAATCAGGCCCGA

Observed distance

$$d_{obs}(seq1-seq2) = ?$$

J&C distance

$$d_{JC}(seq1-seq2) = ?$$



Evolutionary distances

The JC model - solution

Seq1 TCAAGTCAGGTTCGA | | | | Seq2 TCCAGTTAGACTCGA | | | | | Seq3 TTCAATCAGGCCCGA

Observed distance

$$d_{obs}(seq1 - seq2) = \frac{4}{15} = 0.266$$

J&C distance

$$d_{JC}(seq1 - seq2) = -\frac{3}{4}(1 - \frac{4}{3}0.266) = 0.328$$



Evolutionary distances

The Kimura model (1980)

- The model is defined by 2 parameters
 - all base frequencies are equal (0.25)
 - It distinguishes the rate of transition substitutions α and the rate of transversion substitutions β



The Kimura two-parameter distance d is given by:

$$Q = \begin{array}{cccc} A & T & C & G \\ \hline A & T & C & G \\ \hline A & -\mu_A & \beta & \beta & \alpha \\ \hline \beta & -\mu_T & \alpha & \beta \\ \hline \beta & \alpha & -\mu_C & \beta \\ \hline \alpha & \beta & \beta & -\mu_G \end{array}$$

$$d = -\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(1 - 2p - q\right) - \frac{1}{4}\ln(1 - 2q)$$

where p is the proportion of sites that show transitional differences and q is the proportion of sites that show transversional differences.

Stoul E Other models

- The Felsenstein's 1981 model is an extension of the JC69 model in which base frequencies are allowed to vary from 0.25
- The **HKY85 mode**l can be thought of as combining the extensions made in the Kimura80 and Felsenstein81 models: it distinguishes between the rate of transitions and transversions and it allows unequal base frequencies.
- The **GTR (Generalised time-reversible, Tavaré 1986)** model is the most general neutral, independent, finite-sites, time-reversible model possible :
 - All bases can have unequal frequencies
 - All type of mutations are distinghuished

Rate heterogeneity among sites

- The rate of substitution can vary substantially for different position of an an alignment
- To account for the site-dependent rate variation, the common approach is to use a Gamma distribution which model distribution rates between sites



Usually, rather than using the continuous Gamma distribution, **discrete categories of equally probable substitution rates** are used to obtained an approximation of the function (4 to 8 site categories)

Nucleotide models : summary





09/12/2014

Choosing among models

- It is crucial step
- Different evolutionary models can lead to different results : inaccurate branch lengths, even sometimes wrong tree topology
- The most complex model with the largest number of parameters is not necessarly the most appropriate, it depends of the question and the data
- The best-fit model of evolution for a particular dataset can be selected using sound statistical techniques, for example :
 - Hierarchical Likehood Ratio Tests (hLTRs)
 - Information criteria (ex : Akaike Information criterion=AIC)

Choosing among models

- In practice : adjust the model to the analyzed dataset
- Use statistical methods to select the best fitted model* :

LRT

Likelihood Ratio Test 2 • [In $L(\hat{\theta})$ - In $L(\theta_0)$] ~ χ^2_p

AIC

Akaike Information Criterion AIC_i = $-2 \cdot \ln L_i + 2p_i$

BIC

Bayesian Information Criterion BIC_i = -2•In $L_i + p_i$ •In(n) LRT criterion can be used to compare models which are subsets of each other

AIC and BIC criteria compare all of the models simultaneously according to some measure of fitness

09/12/2014

*Keane & al., BMC Evolutionary Biology 2006

Selection of the best fitted model

Example: Hierarchical LRT of models of molecular evolution

Но	Models compared
Equal base frequencies	Ho: JC69 1 parameter H1 : F81 2 parameters
Equal ti/tv rates	Ho : F81 2 parameters H1 : HKY 5 parameters
Equal ti and equal tv rates	Ho : HKY 5 parameters H1 : GTR 9 parameters
Equal rates among sites	Ho : GTR 9 parameters H1 : GTR+ т 9 parameters +n
Proportion of invariable sites	Ho : GTR+ τ 9 parameters +n H1 : GTR+ τ + I 9 parameters +n +1

where I means there is a significant proportion of invariable sites, and T means a gamma distribution is being used to account for rate variation among sites



Protein models

- Similar concept: multiple substitutions of amino acids lead to underestimation of evolutionary distances between two homologous proteins.
- Substitution frequency of amino acids depends of the AA : it is higher between closed amino-acids in term of physical properties (polarity, hydrophibicity,...)
- Too much (190) parameters to estimate parameters of probabilistic model => empirical models are used
- Transition rate between amino acids are estimated once from big reference alignments obtained by concatenation of several homologs proteins

Main protein evolutionary models

Model	Dataset	Ref
Poisson	Poisson process	Zuckerkandl, 1965
PAM	1300 protein sequences from 71 homolog families	Dayhoff 1978
Blosum	Extension of PAM dataset	Henikoff 1992
JTT	16 300 sequences	Jones 1992
mtREV	Mitochondrial DNA	Adachi 1996
WAG & LG	Likehood methods	Whelan 2001

Model choice is based on the same tests as for nucleotide evolutionary models (LRT, AIC, BIC)

Main protein evolutionary models

Model	Dataset	Ref
Poisson	Poisson process	Zuckerkandl, 1965
PAM	1300 protein sequences from 71 homolog families	Dayhoff 1978
Blosum	Extension of PAM dataset	Henikoff 1992
JTT	16 300 sequences	Jones 1992
mtREV	Mitochondrial DNA	Adachi 1996
WAG & LG	Likehood methods	Whelan 2001

WAG and LG models are the more used models



Example: JTT (1992, 16 300 sequences) vs mtREV (for mitochondrial proteins)


Choosing among models: training

• Dataset 1: amino-acid alignment of the phosphoglycerate kinase (pgk) protein in 21 bacterial species of the firmicutes group (adapted from [1]; formats : clustalw, fasta, phylip).

Firmicutes are a phylum of bacteria, most of which have Gram-positive cell wall structure. It includes Bacilli, Clostridia, mollicutes...

[1] Wolf & al, 2004, International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary microbiology, Phylogeny of Firmicutes with special reference to Mycoplasma (Mollicutes) as inferred from phosphoglycerate kinase amino acid sequence data

Choosing among models: training

• **Dataset 2: nucleic** alignment of the beta glucosidase gene (bgIA) in 10 bacterial species of the *Staphylococcus* and *Listeria* genus (adapted from [2], formats : clustalw, fasta, phylip).

bgIA is the betaglucosidase gene used in MLST studies

Here focus on two bacterial genus : 5 listeria strains, 5 staphylococcus strains

[2] Ragon *et al.* 2008. PLOS Pathogens. A new perspective on Listeria monocytogenes evolution

Exercise 1: solution

Exercise 1: Choose the best-fit evolutionary model for the two datasets (use modelgenerator.jar)

- Dataset 1: amino-acid alignment of the phosphoglycerate kinase (pgk) protein in 21 bacterial species of the firmicutes
- Dataset 2: nucleic alignment of the beta glucosidase gene (bgIA) in 10 bacterial species of the *Staphylococcus* and *Listeria* genus

Modelgenerator : take as input fasta or phylip files, choose 4 categories of sites

•



Exercise 1: Choose the best-fit evolutionary model for the two datasets (use modelgenerator.jar)

• Dataset 1: amino-acid alignment of the phosphoglycerate kinase (pgk) protein in 21 bacterial species of the firmicutes

> modelgenerator.jar input file : pgk_firmicutes.phy number of discrete gamma categories: 4

Output : modelgenerator.out (rename !) Result: Model Selected:WAG+I+G+F (AIC1) WAG+I+G (AIC2, BIC)



Exercise 1: Choose the best-fit evolutionary model for the two datasets.

Dataset 2: nucleic alignment of the beta glucosidase gene (bgIA) in 10 bacterial species of the *Staphylococcus* and *Listeria* genus (formats : clustalw, fasta, phylip).

> modelgenerator.jar
input file : bgIA_listeriaStpah.phy
number of discrete gamma categories: 4

Output : modelgenerator.out (rename !) Result: model GTR+G (AIC1, AIC2, BIC)





• Main methods for inferring phylogenetic trees:

Input data	Method	Principle of the algorithms
Distance matrix	Unweighted Pair Group Method (UPGMA)	clustering
	Neighbor-Joining (NJ)	clustering
Character state	Maximum Parsimony (MP)	Search for the tree(s) of minimum character changes
	Maximum Likehood (ML)	Search for the tree(s) that maximizes the probability of observing the character states giving a tree topology and a model of evolution
	Bayesian Inference	Target a probability distribution of trees (set of possible trees for the data)

Distance methods for inferring a phylogenetic tree

- Introduced in phylogeny in 1960
- Try to fit a tree to a matrix of pairwise genetic distances
- Need to choose an evolutionary model





- Two main methods
 - UPGMA: a clustering method that produced ultrametric trees
 - **Neighbor-Joining**: use a greedy algorithm to compute the Minimal Evolution tree *i.e.* the optimal topology is the one which minimizes the tree length



Neighbor-Joining

- First algorithm proposed by Saitou & Nei (1987)
- Very fast : polynomial-time algorithm
- Produces unrooted trees
- Produces the wright topology if matrix distances are patristic



Neighbor-Joining (NJ)

Principle of the algorithm:

- Start with a star tree (A)
- Compute the **matrix Qij** and find the pair of taxa with lowest value (here f and g)
- Join f and g and create a new internal node, u, as shown in (B)
- Compute the distances from node u to the nodes a-e
- Repeat the process :
- u and e are joined to the newly created v, as shown in (C).
 Two more iterations lead first to (D), and then to (E).



Neighbor-Joining in practice

- NJ: Fast but problems may occur for very divergent sequences or heterogeneous datasets
- BioNJ* algorithm:
 - A variant of NJ which improves its accuracy by making use of a simple first-order model of the variances and covariances of evolutionary distance estimates.
 - When the substitution rates are low (maximum pairwise divergence ~0.1 substitutions per site) or when they are constant among lineages, BIONJ is only slightly better than NJ.
 - When the substitution rates are higher and vary among lineages, BIONJ clearly has better topological accuracy*.

*Gascuel Molecular Biology and Evolution 1997

Neighbor-Joining in practice

- Choose an evolutionary model and compute a distance matrix (see next slide)
- NJ/BioNJ softwares:
 - Neighbor (PHYLIP, NJ) http:// evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html
 - BioNJ http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/bionj/ or http://phylogeny.lirmm.fr/phylo_cgi/one_task.cgi? task_type=bionj
 - QuickTree (NJ) http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/ software/quicktree/
 - Seaview (NJ and BioNJ) http://pbil.univ-lyon1/fr/ software/seaview

Evolutionary models in NJ

NJ softwares do not implement all models !

- At small distances (~10% of variable sites) the different evolutionary models produce very similar distance estimates => no problem
- At intermediate distances (20 to 30% of variable sites), different model asumptions become more important => It is recommanded to use realistic models for distance estimation, especially if the sequences are longs
- At large distances (40% of variable sites), the different model produces very different distance estimates. Sometimes the distance estimates become infinite. => The solution is to use realistic models for distance estimation AND to add sequences to break down the long distances

Neighbor-Joining: training

Exercise 2: *Neighbor-Joining* trees for the two alignement datasets.

- Question 1. Use seaview or Phylip to build a Neighbor-Joining tree for the two datasets.
- Question 2 Use figtree to root the trees using two different methods : midpoint rooting and and arbitrary outgroup (for instance Staph_epider for the nucleic dataset and Myco_geni for the proteic dataset)

Neighbor-Joining : training

Question 1. Use **seaview or Phylip** to build a Neighbor-Joining tree for the two datasets.

SEAVIEW

open alignment then distance

Method : bioNJ

distance matrix : Observed/Poisson/kimura => Kimura

Save unrooted/rooted tree (Newick format)

- PHYLIP: 2 steps
 - dnadist/protdist (be careful of outfile names !)
 - neighbor (PHYLIP) or BIONJ

Neighbor-Joining: training

Question 2 Use figtree to root the trees using two different methods : midpoint rooting and and arbitrary outgroup (for instance Staph_epider for the nucleic dataset and Myco_geni for the proteic dataset)

• figtree &

open tree (Newick format)

Left panel : Select 'Trees' / Root tree (User Selection or Midpoint)

- Main concept (adapted from Fitch, 1971):
 - Seek the tree(s) that minimizes the net amount of evolutionary change (in term of character change) required to explain the data
- Very used on morphological data (presence/absence of characters) but also relevant for biological sequences (a character = a site with 4 states=A,T,C,G or molecular polymorphism data like SINE)
- Produces unrooted tress
- Does not require any evolutionary model
- Take into account explicitly ancestral states





The problem of finding the parsimony tree can be separated into **three steps**:

- Step 1: Compute the minimal amount of character change required in a given tree (compute changes for each character and sum up all characters)
- Step 2: Search for all possible tree topologies
- Step 3: Choose the tree(s) that minimize this number of character changes.



Step 1: Compute the minimal amount of character change required in a given tree (compute changes for each character and sum up all characters)

• Compute the minimum number of changes for a site in a tree (for instance with the *Fitch algorithm*)



Parsimony score : 1+1+2+3+4 =11

Sum over the number of sites to obtain the parsimony score of a tree



• Step 2 : generate all possible tree topologies

- **Exact methods** (max. 20 taxa) : example=*Branch and Bound* algorithm
- Heuristic methods : choose an intitial tree topology (star decomposition, stepwise addition, random choice) and perform tree-rearrangement perturbations like Nearest Neighbor Interchange (NNI) or Subtree Pruning and Regrafting (SPR)

Star decomposition







Tree rearrangments

 Exploration of tree topologies using different kind of local rearrangments:



Small changes => local space exploration

Medium changes => best space exploration

Iterate and keep always the best (more parsimonious) tree

• Stop after n iterations if the swapping process do not produce a ^{09/12/2014}

Parsimony in practice

- + : can be applied to any kind of characters, good performances if substitution events are rare
- : no statistical justification and some sites are excluded i.e. non informative sites = invariant sites (AAAA) and two-states sites with one character in one occurrence (AAAT) (all the tree are equal for theses sites)
- Sotwares for parsimony
 - PHYLIP (dnapars, protpars)
 - Seaview
 - MacClade http://macclade.org/macclade.html
 (PAUP)



Exercise 3: build a parsimony tree for the two alignement datasets (use Seaview or Phylip)

Exercise 3: solution

Exercise 3: build a *parsimony* tree for the two alignement datasets.

- seaview &
- open alignment, Choose parcimony
- Or dnapars/protpars (PHYLIP)
- Interpret using figtree

same topology for the nucleic dataset but not for the proteic dataset pgk_firmicutes.fsa (look at *S. aureus* position)

Maximum Likehood methods

- The most frequently used methods
- Sound mathematical and statistical foundations
- The evolution model is central, the method is only possible for aligned sequences
- In statistics, maximum-likelihood estimation (MLE) is a general method of estimating the parameters of a statistical model. When applied to a data set and given a statistical model, maximum-likelihood estimation provides estimates for the model's parameters.

Maximum Likehood methods

Adressed question: what is the probability to observe the data by considering an evolutionary model with its parameters and a tree topology ?

$\Pr(D/T)$

- Input: A set of observed sequences and an underlying evolutionary model.
- Desired Output: The weighted tree that maximizes the likelihood of the data

Maximum Likehood methods

Parameters of the probabilistic model :

- A phylogenetic tree T, with an arbitrary root and valuated branch lengths
- A normalized Q-matrix, common to all tree branches
- An α parameter which determines the variation of the evolutionary rates between sites using the Gamma distribution



I_i are branch lengths (#subst/site)
A, B, C, D, E are the unknown ancestral states

• Likehood computation of observed data :

$$Log(L) = \sum_{sites} \log(L(site))$$

 $L(site) = \sum_{A} \sum_{B} \sum_{C} \sum_{D} \sum_{E} \Pr{ob(S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, A, B, C, D, E|T)}$

Maximum likehood: Example

Sequence W: A C G G C G T T G G G G Sequence X: A C G C G C A A T G G G G Sequence Y: A C G C A C A G G G A A Sequence Z: A C A C A C A G G G A A

All possible evolutionary paths of a site



Likehood of a site

Likehood of a path



 $L(path) = L(root) \times \Pi L(branches)$

 $= P(G \rightarrow T)P(G \rightarrow G) P(G \rightarrow A)P(G \rightarrow G) P(T \rightarrow T)P(T \rightarrow T)$

Sum over all paths



L(Column Cluster 1) = Σ L(all possible Evolutionary Paths)

= L(path1) + L(path2) + L(path3) + ... + L(path64)

Felsenstein algorithm

- 5 internal nodes => 5⁴ = 1024 possible combinations
- Pruning Felsenstein algorithm :
 - progressive computation of the likehood of a site to have nucleotide i (with tree T and model M fixed) from leaves to root by using a recursive strategy
- Calculate tree Likelihood by multiplying the likehood for each position

Maximum Likehood features

• Branch length I are estimated using the Q matrix (of an evolutionary model).

I=expected number of subtitutions per site = μt (mutation rate x time)

$$P_{(l)} = e^{Q_l}$$

- Reversibility of the process (symetry of Q matrix) : it is possible to show that if the base substitution model is reversible
- Root position : Likelihood remains the same regardless of where the root is. So search for the best tree only needs to be carried out on unrooted trees
- Can take into account variation of the evolutionary rates between sites using K possible categories of sites

Maximum likehood algorithm in practice

- Pick an evolutionary model (result of modelgenrator can help)
- For each site, generate all possible tree structures (same methods as in MP)
- Based on the evolutionary model, calculate likelihood of these trees.
- Choose the tree with the Maximum Likelihood

Maximum likehood in practice

 +: Works well for distantly related sequences and under different molecular clock theory ; Can incorporate any desirable evolutionary model ; Sound mathematical foundations

-: Bad Approx. under Bad Evolutionary Models ;
 Computationally Intensive (=>slow)

Sotwares for Maximum likehood

- PHYLIP (dnaml, protml)
- PhyML http://atgc.lirmm.fr/phyml/
- RaXML http://sco.h-its.org/exelixis/web/software/raxml/index.html



Exercise 4: build a *Maximum likehood* **tree for the two alignement datasets** (use Seaview or phyml)

Exercise 4: solution

Exercise 4: build a *Maximum likehood* tree for the two alignement datasets.

Seaview

Trees menu/PhyML : Model (GTR/WAG) Nucleotide equilibrium frequencies : optimized, 4 categories for rate variation (For WAG select also Optimized Invariable Sites)

Phyml

phyml -i bglA_listeriaStpah.phy -d nt --quiet -c 4 -a e -m GTR results : bglA_listeriaStpah.phy_phyml*

phyml -i pgk_firmicutes.phy -d aa --quiet -c 4 -a e -v e -m WAG @@@@@@@lts : pgk_firmicutes.phy_phyml* 72
- The most recent method, now becomes very used
- Use probabilistic evolutionary models (the same as in maximum likehood methods)
- The central concept of the method is posterior probability; a Bayesian analysis produces a posterior probability distribution of trees
- If the data are informative, most of the posterior probabilities will focus on one tree or a small subset of trees

Central question: what is the probability of the model/tree taking into account the data D ?

- Start with a prior belief about trees (prior distribution of possible trees)
- Collect data and use an evolutionary model and Bayes theorem to obtain a posterior probability distribution of trees

$$Pr(T / D) = \frac{\Pr(T)\Pr(D / T)}{\Pr(D)}$$

$$Pr(D)$$

$$V(T / D) = \frac{\Pr(T)\Pr(D / T)}{\Pr(D)}$$



- Is is not possible to derive the posterior probability analytically
- The posterior probability is derived by using a Markov Chain Monte-Carlo sampling (MCMC) strategy:

1- start from an arbitrary point

2- make small random changes to the current values of the model parameters

3- accept or reject these changes according to its posterior probability

 This process is repeated during n generations until convergence.

- Input:
 - A set of aligned sequences
 - A prior distribution about trees
 - An underlying evolutionary model.
- Desired Output:
 - One (or a few) valuated tree(s) with maximal posterior probabilities

- Powerful but complex method
- Can produce either one either several tree topologies with high posterior probabilities
- Use an a priori distribution for parameters
- Use heuristic to explore tree spaces
- Convergence problems: for some phylogenetic problems, difficult or impossible to achieve convergence within a reasonable number of generations

Sotware for Bayesian inference

• MrBayes : http://mrbayes.sourceforge.net

Mr Bayes parameters

- Set the evolutionary model, eventually with a discrete gamma-distributed rate variation across sites (N=4) and a proportion of invariable sites (I) (or let MrBayes choose)
- Set the MCMC parameters:
 - Number of chains Nc: by default Nc=2 and MrBayes will run two simultaneous, completely independent analyses starting from different random tree
 - Number of generations Ngen : typically Ngen≥10000
 - Criterion for convergence diagnostic, typically by comparing the variance among and within tree samples MrBayes will run diagnostic every runfreq generations and report clades ot at least minfrequency.



Exercise 5: Build a MrBayes tree for the two datasets.

Bayesian inference: training

Exercise 5: Build a MrBayes tree on the nucleic dataset.

- (i) Read the nexus datafile
- (ii) Set the evolutionary model
- (iii) Run the analysis
- (iv) Summarize the samples

Exercise 5: solution

Mr Bayes step by step:

(I) Read the nexus datafile

MrBayes > execute bgIA_listeriaStpah.nex

(II) Set the evolutionary model

MrBayes > lset nst=6 rates=invgamma

(III) Run the analysis

MrBayes > mcmc ngen=20000 samplefreq=100 printfreq=100 diagnfreq=1000

Exercise 5: solution

Mr Bayes step by step

During the run, Mr Bayes prints samples of substitution model parameters (*.p files) ant tree samples (*.t files)

(IV) End of the analysis ?

If the standard deviation of split frequencies is below 0.05 after 10000 generations stop the run, otherwise keep adding generations

(V) Summarize the samples

Summarize the parameter values MrBayes > sump Summarize the trees MrBayes > sumt



EvolutionaryMethoddistance choicechoice

Testing tree topologies

Confidence issue

- How confident are we on the inferred tree ?
- Which parts of the tree are reliable/not reliable ?
- How can we validate the tree ?

Problem: the true tree is unknown !

Solution :

- use bootstrap (or jacknife) to evaluate the reliability of the inferred tree and specific clades
- combine subsampling and consensus trees to get support values on branches

Testing tree topologies

• Bootstrap: resample "nucleotides" from the alignment;



Service Bootstrap process and consensus tree

Bootstrap process

- Infer several trees using resampling techniques;
- Identify and conserve only the core information contained and repeated in many trees;
- Combine the several trees to produce a **consensus tree** which is compatible with all (or most) of the trees.
- In general, the consensus tree has no branch lengths and a lower resolution than the original tree.
- Superimpose boostrap values on the original tree

Plateforme Bioinformatique Midi-l

Seno E Consensus tree

.Consensus rules:

- Strict Consensus: clades presents in all trees;
- Majority Rule: clades presents in at least half of the trees;
- Extended Majority Rule: clades presents in at least half of the trees and some more until the tree is resolved.

Seno E Consensus tree

.Consensus rules:

- Strict Consensus: clades presents in all trees;
- Majority Rule: clades presents in at least half of the trees;
- Extended Majority Rule: clades presents in at least half of the trees and some more until the tree is resolved.



Boostrap values guidelines

Be cautious with boostrap values interpretation:

- Bootstrap values have no clear-cut statistical interpretation;
- A bootstrap value of 95% doesn't mean that the corresponding clade has 95% chance of being "true";
- Bootstrap values are difficult to interpret quantitavely.

However Bootstrap values are (quite) easy to interpret **qualitatively**:

- The higher the bootstrap value, the more confident you can be in your clade;
- 95%, 90% and 66% consitute traditional threshold for being confident in a clade.



Exercise 6: Compute bootstrap values for the bioNJ and parsimony trees.

Bootstrap: training

Exercise 6: Compute bootstrap values for the bioNJ and parsimony trees.

- Seaview (Parsimony/BioNJ) => choose 'Bootsrap with 100 replicates'
- Or seqboot (PHYLIP program) + neighbor or dnapars (protpars)





Evolutionary distance choice **Distance**

- Parsimony
- Maximum Likehood
- Bayesian





Bayesian

Conclusion: overall view

Implemented in Seaview Use modelgenerator.jar Use Mr Bayes



95

Conclusion: method comparison

Neighbor-joining (fast)

- Consistent: proven to construct the correct tree if distances are patristic.
- Problems with long and divergent sequences

Parsimony (medium)

- good for closely related sequences
- can be used with any kind of data
- No clear interpretation of branch length

Conclusion: method comparison

Likelihood method (slow)

- Sound statistic foundations
- Works well for distantly related sequences
- Can incorporate any desirable evolutionary model
 Bayesian method (very slow)
- Powerful but complex method

Frequent problems

• Long Branch Attraction: Long branches tend to cluster together in the tree:

Solution: "break down" long branches by adding some taxa to the analysis;

- Saturation: Characters have evolved for so long that they are almost random:
- Solutions: Remove saturated sites and/or taxa; When available, use proteic sequences instead of nucleic sequences;
- **Missing Data:** Some characters are missing from the alignment:
- Solutions: Use methods that can handle missing values, such as ML; Use as many characters as possible.

Bibliography

• The Phylogenetic Handbook. A Practical Approach to Phylogenetic Analysis and Hypothesis testing. 2009, 2nd edition. Edited by Philipppe Lemey, Marco Salemi and Anne-Mieke Vandamme, eds. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. 723 pp.

• Concepts et méthodes en phylogénie moléculaire. 2010. Guy Perrière and Céline Brochier-Armanet. Collection IRIS. Springer. 250 pp.

• **Computational Molecular Evolution.** 2006. Ziheng Yang. Oxford Series in Ecology and Evolution, Oxford University Press. Oxford, U.K. 357 pp.

• Inferring Phylogenies. 2004. Joseph Felsenstein. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Sunderland, MA, U.S.A. 664 pp.



• LIRMM web site :

http://phylogeny.lirmm.fr

• PHYLIP (Felsenstein lab, Univ. of Washington) web site : http://evolution.gs.washington.edu/phylip/software.html



The End !

http://bioinfo.genotoul.fr/index.php?id=79

Questions ?

09/12/2014