

B- Training on Galaxy: Metabarcoding

March 2020 - Nancy

FROGS Practice

FRÉDÉRIC ESCUDIÉ* and LUCAS AUER*, MARIA BERNARD, LAURENT CAUQUIL, SARAH MAMAN, MAHENDRA MARIADASSOU, SYLVIE COMBES, GUILLERMINA HERNANDEZ-RAQUET, GÉRALDINE PASCAL

*THESE AUTHORS HAVE CONTRIBUTED EQUALLY TO THE PRESENT WORK.
















Overview 🙀

- Objectives
- Material: data + FROGS
- Demultiplex tool
- Preprocessing
- Clustering + Cluster Statistics
- Chimera removal
- Filtering
- Affiliation + Affiliation Statistics

- Normalization
- Tool descriptions
- Format transformation
- Export your data
- Some figures
- ITS analysis
- Workflow creation

Objectives



operational taxonomic

units (OTUs) and

their taxonomic affiliation.

OTUs for ecology

Operational Taxonomy Unit:

a grouping of similar sequences that can be treated as a single « species »

Strengths:

- Conceptually simple
- Mask effect of poor quality data
 - Sequencing error
 - In vitro recombination (chimera)

Weaknesses:

- Limited resolution
- Logically inconsistent definition

Objectives

	Affiliation	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
OTU1	Species A	0	100	0	45	75	18645
OTU2	Species B	741	0	456	4421	1255	23
OTU3	Species C	12786	45	3	0	0	0
OTU4	Species D	127	4534	80	456	756	108
OTU5	Species E	8766	7578	56	0	0	200

Why FROGS was developed?

The current processing pipelines struggle to run in a reasonable time.

The most effective solutions are often designed for specialists making access difficult for the whole community.

In this context we developed the pipeline FROGS: « Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution ».



Who is in the FROGS group?



Maria Bernard



Olivier Rué



Frédéric Escudié



Lucas AUER



Laurent CAUQUIL



Sylvie COMBES



Guillermina HERNANDEZ-RAQUET



Sarah MAMAN

Galaxy

support

Developers

Biology experts



Mahendra Mariadassou





Géraldine PASCAL

Coordinator



Who is in the FROGS group?



















Olivier Rué

Frédéric Escudié

Lucas Auer

Laurent CAUQUIL

Sylvie COMBES

Guillermina HERNANDEZ-RAQUET

Sarah MAMAN

Developers

Biology experts





Mahendra Mariadassou

Statistical expert




Géraldine Pascal

Coordinator


Material

Sample collection and DNA extraction




« Meta-omics » using next-generation sequencing (NGS)

DNA



Metagenomics

Metatranscriptomics




Shotgun sequencing



What can they do?

RNA sequencing



What are they doing?

The gene encoding the small subunit of the ribosomal RNA


The most widely used gene in molecular phylogenetic studies

Ubiquist gene: 16S rDNA in prokaryotes; 18S rDNA in eukaryotes

Gene encoding a ribosomal RNA: non-coding RNA (not translated), part of the small subunit of the ribosome which is responsible for the translation of mRNA in proteins

Not submitted to lateral gene transfer

Availability of databases facilitating comparison (Silva v132 2017: available SSU/LSU sequences to over **6,800,000**)



Secondary structure of the 16S rRNA of Escherichia coli

In red, fragment R1 including regions V1 and V2; in orange, fragment R2 including region V3; in yellow, fragment R3 including region V4; in green, fragment R4 including regions V5 and V6; in blue, fragment R5 including regions V7 and V8; and in purple, fragment R6 including region V9.

Uniting the classification of cultured and uncultured bacteria and archaea using 16S rRNA gene sequences
Pablo Yarza, et al.
Nature Reviews Microbiology 12, 635–645 (2014) doi:10.1038/nrmicro3330

The gene encoding the small subunit of the ribosomal RNA



CONSERVED REGIONS: unspecific applications

VARIABLE REGIONS: group or species-specific applications

Other targets

Bacterial lineages vary in their genomic contents, which suggests that different genes might be needed to resolve the diversity within certain taxonomic groups.

The genes that have been proposed for this task include those encoding:

- 23S rRNA,
- DNA gyrase subunit B (gyrB),
- RNA polymerase subunit B (rpoB),
- TU elongation factor (tuf),
- DNA recombinase protein (recA),
- protein synthesis elongation factor-G (fusA),
- dinitrogenase protein subunit D (nifD),
- Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) for Fungi.

Other targets

- gyrB has a higher rate of base substitution than 16S rDNA does, and shows promise for community-profiling applications.
- This gene is essential and ubiquitous in bacteria and
- is sufficiently large in size for use in analysis of microbial communities.
- It is a single-copy housekeeping gene that encodes the subunit B of DNA gyrase, a type II DNA topoisomerase, and therefore plays an essential role in DNA replication.
- Furthermore, the gyrB gene is also present in Eukarya and sometimes in Archaea but it shows enough sequence dissimilarity between the three domains of life to be used selectively for Bacteria.

Other target

See for gyrB:

Article of Stéphane Chaillou





OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Poirier S, Rué D, Peguillan R, Cosuret G, Zagnerc M, Champromier-Vergès M-C, et al. (2018) Deciphering intra-species bacterial diversity of meat and seafood spoiliage microbiota using gn/B amplicon sequencing: A comparative analysis with 16S rDNA V3-V4 amplicon sequencing. PLoS ONE 13(9): e0204629. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0204629.

Editor: George-John Nychas, Agricultural University of Athens, GREECE

Received: July 6, 2018

Accepted: September 11, 2018

Published: September 25, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Pointer et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Raw read sequences were deposited at the Sequence Read Archive touder the accession numbers SAMM09070427 to SAMN09070506. The whole dataset has been uploaded to figshare and is accessible using the following DIC 1:00844m9 figshare 7083209. The R script (redisease, phyloseq_custom.R), which includes all commands performed to create our figures, is available for download at DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.7083254.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Deciphering intra-species bacterial diversity of meat and seafood spoilage microbiota using *gyrB* amplicon sequencing: A comparative analysis with 16S rDNA V3-V4 amplicon sequencing

Simon Poirier¹, Olivier Rué², Raphaëlle Peguilhan¹, Gwendoline Coeurel¹, Monique Zagores², Marie-Christine Champomier-Vergès¹, Valentin Loux², Stéphane Challloue¹ :

1 MICALIS, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France, 2 MaIAGE, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France, 3 Secalim, INRA, Oniris, Nantes, France

* stephane.chaillou@inra.fr


Abstract

Meat and seafood spoilage ecosystems harbor extensive bacterial genomic diversity that is mainly found within a small number of species but within a large number of strains with different spoilage metabolic potential. To decipher the intraspecies diversity of such microbiota, traditional metagenetic analysis using the 16S rRNA gene is inadequate. We therefore assessed the potential benefit of an alternative genetic marker, gyrB, which encodes the subunit B of DNA gyrase, a type II DNA topoisomerase. A comparison between 16S rDNA-based (V3-V4) amplicon sequencing and gyrB-based amplicon sequencing was carried out in five types of meat and seafood products, with five mock communities serving as quality controls. Our results revealed that bacterial richness in these mock communities and food samples was estimated with higher accuracy using gyrB than using 16S rDNA. However, for Firmicutes species, 35% of putative gyrB reads were actually identified as sequences of a qvrB paralog, parE, which encodes subunit B of topoisomerase IV; we therefore constructed a reference database of published sequences of both gyrB and pare for use in all subsequent analyses. Despite this co-amplification, the deviation between relative sequencing quantification and absolute qPCR quantification was comparable to that observed for 16S rDNA for all the tested species. This confirms that gyrB can be used successfully alongside 16S rDNA to determine the species composition (richness and evenness) of food microbiota. The major benefit of gyrB sequencing is its potential for improving taxonomic assignment and for further investigating OTU richness at the subspecies level, thus allowing more accurate discrimination of samples. Indeed, 80% of the reads of the 16S rDNA dataset were represented by thirteen 16S rDNA-based OTUs that could not be assigned at the species-level. Instead, these same clades corresponded to 44 gyrB-based OTUs, which differentiated various lineages down to the subspecies level. The increased ability of gyrB-based analyses to track and trace phylogenetically different groups of strains


Steps for Illumina sequencing


1st step : one PCR

2nd step: one PCR



- 3rd step: on flow cell, the cluster generations
- 4th step: sequencing





Amplification and sequencing

« Universal » primer sets are used for PCR amplification of the phylogenetic biomarker

The primers contain adapters used for the sequencing step and barcodes (= tags = MIDs) to distinguish the samples (multiplexing = sequencing several samples on the same run)




Illumina sequencing




Cluster generation

Prepare Genomic DNA Sample



Randomly fragment genomic DNA and ligate adapters to both ends of the fragments.


Attach DNA to Surface



Bind single-stranded fragments randomly to the inside surface of the flow cell channels.

Attach DNA to surface

Bridge Amplification




Add unlabeled nucleotides and enzyme to initiate solid-phase bridge amplification.

Bridge amplification


Cluster generation

Fragments Become Double Stranded Denature the Double-Stranded Molecules



The enzyme incorporates nucleotides to build double-stranded bridges on the solid-phase substrate.


Fragments become double stranded



Denaturation leaves single-stranded templates anchored to the substrate.

Denature the double-stranded molecule

Complete Amplification




Several million dense clusters of double-stranded DNA are generated in each channel of the flow cell.

Cycle of new strand synthesis and denaturation to make multiple copies of the same sequence (amplification) Reverse strands are washed

Sequencing by synthesis

Determine First Base



The first sequencing cycle begins by adding four labeled reversible terminators, primers, and DNA polymerase.


Light signal is more strong in cluster

Image First Base



After laser excitation, the emitted fluorescence from each cluster is captured and the first base is identified.

Determine Second Base



The next cycle repeats the incorporation of four labeled reversible terminators, primers, and DNA polymerase.


Sequencing by synthesis

Image Second Chemistry Cycle



After laser excitation, the image is captured as before, and the identity of the second base is recorded.


Sequencing Over Multiple Chemistry Cycles




The sequencing cycles are repeated to determine the sequence of bases in a fragment, one base at a time.

Barcode is read, so cluster is identified.

After first sequencing (250 or 300 nt of Reverse strand), fragment form bridges again and Forward strand can be sequenced also.



Identification of bacterial populations may be not discriminating



Amplification and sequencing

Sequencing is generally perform on Roche-454 (obsolete now) or Illumina MiSeq platforms or Oxford Nanopore Technology platform.

Read quantity: ~10 000 reads per sample (454), ~30 000 reads per sample (MiSeq), up to several Tera of data (ONT).

Sequence lengths: >650 bp (Roche-454), 2 x 250 bp or 2 x 300 bp (MiSeq), Longest read > 2Mb (ONT)







Methods

FROGS

Use platform Galaxy (or command lines for expert use)

Set of modules = Tools to analyze your "big" data


Independent modules

Run on Oxford Nanopore Technology/Illumina/454 data 16S, 18S, and 23S, ITS and others

Innovative clustering method


Many graphics for interpretation

User friendly, hiding bioinformatics infrastructure/complexity






FROGS Pipeline



30








FROGS Pipeline

Minimal pipeline for bacterial amplicon analyses









FROGS Pipeline

Minimal pipeline for ITS amplicon analyses



FROGS Tools for Bioinfomatics analyses



Normalization


Phylogenetics Tree

FROGS Abundance normalisation

of phylogenetic tree

FROGS Tree Reconstruction

FROGS Tools for Statistic analyses



What kind of data?

4 Upload → 4 Histories

Multiplexed data

Pathobiomes rodents and ticks

multiplex.fastq

barcode_forward.tabular

ITS data

METABARFOOD project

ITS.tar.gz

MiSeq R1 fastq + R2 fastq

Farm animal feces metagenome

sampleA_R1.fastq


sampleA_R2.fastq

MiSeq merged fastq in archive tar.gz


Farm animal feces metagenome

100spec_90000seq_9s amples.tar.gz

Demultiplexing tool



Barcoding?




Demultiplexing

Sequence demultiplexing in function of barcode sequences :


- In forward
- In reverse
- In forward and reverse

Remove unbarcoded or ambiguous sequences


Demultiplexing forward



Demultiplexing reverse




Demultiplexing forward and reverse




Your turn! - 1

LAUNCH DEMULTIPLEX READS TOOL

The tool parameters depend on the input data type





FROGS Demultiplex reads (version 1.1.0) Barcode file: 1: barcode.tabular 🔻 This file describes barcodes and samples (one line by sample tabulated separated from barcode sequence(s)). See Help section Single or Paired-end reads: You have R1 Paired 🕶 and R2 seq. Select between paired and single end data Select first set of reads: Specify dataset of your forward reads Select second set of reads: Specify dataset of your reverse reads barcode mismatches: Number of mismatches allowed in barcode barcode on which end ?: Forward Forward at the begining of the forward end or of the reverse end or both? Reverse Both ends

Demultiplexing



Exercise 1

In multiplexed history launch the demultiplex tool:

« The Patho-ID project, rodent and tick's pathobioms study, financed by the metaprogram INRA-MEM, studies zoonoses on rats and ticks from multiple places in the world, the co-infection systems and the interactions between pathogens. In this aim, thay have extracted hundreads of or rats and ticks samples from which they have extracted 16S DNA and sequenced them first time on Roche 454 plateform and in a second time on Illumina Miseq plateform. For this courses, they authorized us to publicly shared some parts of these samples. »

Parasites & Vectors (2015) 8:172 DOI 10.1186/s13071-015-0784-7. **Detection of Orientia sp. DNA in rodents from Asia, West Africa and Europe.** Jean François Cosson, Maxime Galan, Emilie Bard, Maria Razzauti, Maria Bernard, Serge Morand, Carine Brouat, Ambroise Dalecky, Khalilou Bâ, Nathalie Charbonnel and Muriel Vayssier-Taussat



Exercise 1

In multiplexed history launch the demultiplex tool:

Data are <u>single end</u> reads

→ only 1 fastq file

Samples are characterized by one barcode in forward strands

→ multiplexing « forward »

Inputs:

2: /work/frogs /multiplex.fastq

1: /work/frogs /barcode forward.tabular



Exercise 1

Demultiplex tool asks for 2 files: one « fastq » and one « tabular »

Play with pictograms








Observe how is built a fastq file.



Look at the stdout, stderr when available (in the 🕕 pictogram)

Multiplex





Advices

For your own data

- Do not forget to indicate barcode sequence as they are in the fastq sequence file, especially if you have data multiplexed via the reverse strand.
- For the mismatch threshold, we advised you to let the threshold to 0, and if you are not satisfied by the result, try with 1. The number of mismatch depends on the length of the barcode, but often those sequences are very short so 1 mismatch is already more than the sequencing error rate.
- If you have different barcode lengths, you must demultiplex your data in different times beginning by the longest barcode set and used the "unmatched" or "ambiguous" sequence with smaller barcode and so on.
- If you have Roche 454 sequences in sff format, you must convert them with some program like sff2fastq

Results

9: FROGS Demultiplex reads: report

@ 0 X

8: FROGS Demultiplex reads: undemultiplexed.tar.gz

7: FROGS Demultiplex reads: demultiplexed.tar.gz

> A tar archive is created by grouping one (or a pair of) fastq file per sample with the names indicated in the first column of the barcode tabular file.

1 7 #sample count ambiguous $\mathbf{0}$ MgArd0009 91 MgArd0017 166 MgArd0038 1208 MgArd0029 193 unmatched 245 MgArd0001 119 MgArd0081 246 MaArd0046 401 MgArd0054 243 MgArd0073 474 MgArd0062 1127

With barcode mismatches >1 sequence can corresponding to several samples. Sequences that match at only one sample are affected to this sample but the others (ambiguous) are not re-affected to a sample.

> Sequences without known barcode. So these sequences are non-affected to a sample.



Format: Barcode

BARCODE FILE is expected to be tabulated:

- first column corresponds to the sample name (unique, without space)
- second to the forward sequence barcode used (None if only reverse barcode)
- optional third is the reverse sequence barcode (optional)

Take care to indicate sequence barcode in the strand of the read, so you may need to reverse complement the reverse barcode sequence. Barcode sequence must have the same length.

Example of barcode file.

The last column is optional, like this, it describes sample multiplexed by both fragment ends.

MgArd00001 ACAGCGT ACGTACA



Format : FastQ

FASTQ: Text file describing biological sequence in 4 lines format:

- first line start by "@" correspond to the sequence identifier and optionally the sequence description. "@Sequence_1 description1"
- second line is the sequence itself. "ACAGC"
- third line is a "+" following by the sequence identifier or not depending on the version
- fourth line is the quality sequence, one code per base. The code depends on the version and the sequencer

@HNHOSKD01ALD0H

ACAGCGTCAGAGGGGTACCAGTCAGCCATGACGTAGCACGTACA

+

CCCFFFFFHHHHHJJIJJHHFF@DEDDDDDD@CDDDDACDD




How it works?


For each sequence or sequence pair the sequence fragment at the beginning (forward multiplexing) of the (first) read or at the end (reverse multiplexing) of the (second) read will be compare to all barcode sequence.

If this fragment is equal (with less or equal mismatch than the threshold) to one (and only one) barcode, the fragment is trimmed and the sequence will be attributed to the corresponding sample.


Finally fastq files (or pair of fastq files) for each sample are included in an archive, and a summary describes how many sequence are attributed for each sample.

Pre-process tool





Amplicon-based studies general pipeline



Pre-process

- Delete sequence with not expected lengths
- Delete sequences with ambiguous bases (N)
- Delete sequences do not contain good primers
- Merging of reads
- Dereplication
- + removing homopolymers (size = 8) for 454 data
- + quality filter for 454 data


VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics.


Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. PeerJ. 2016 Oct 18;4:e2584. eCollection 2016.

Example for:

- Illumina MiSeq data
- 1 sample
- Non joined

Pre-process example 1





Pre-process example 1

Example for:

- Sanger 454 data
- 1 sample

The sample name. Only one read (454 process) Sequence file 1: /work/formation/FROGS/454.fastq.gz FASTQ file of sample. + Insert Samples Minimum amplicon size 380 The minimum size for the amplicons (with primers). [V3 – V4] 16S variability Maximum amplicon size 500 The maximum size for the amplicons (with primers). 5' primer ACGGGAGGCAGCAG The 5' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). The orient ameters'. **Primer sequences** 3' primer Pre-process example 2 AGGATTAGATACCCTGGTA The 3' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). The orientation is detailed below in Primers parameters'. ✓ Execute

Select the sequencer family used to produce the sequences.

Samples files can be provided in single archive or with one file by sample.

Sequencer

Input type

Samples

1: Samples

my sample

One file by sample

FROGS Pre-process Step 1 in metagenomics analysis: denoising and dereplication. (Galaxy Version 1.5.0)

▼ Options


Example for:

- Illumina MiSeq data
- 9 samples in 1 archive
- Joined
- Without sequenced PCR primers (Kozich protocol)

FROGS Pre-process Step 1 in metagenomics analysis: denoising and dereplication. (Galaxy Version 1.5.0) Options Sequencer Sequencing technology Illumina Select the sequencer family used to produce the sequences. Input type One file per sample and all files are contained in a archive Archive Samples files can be provided in single archive or with two files (R1 and R2) by sample. Archive file ص 1: /work/project/frogs/Formation/100spec 90000seq 9samples Hantagulumic.tar.gz The tar file containing the sequences file(s) for each sample. Reads already contiged? Paire-end sequencing all ready joined Yes The archive contains 1 file by sample: Reads 1 and Reads 2 are already contiged by pair. Minimum amplicon size 380 The minimum size for the amplicons. [V3 - V4] 16S variability Maximum amplicon size 500 The maximum size for the amplicons. Sequencing protocol No more primers Custom protocol (Kozich et al. 2013) The protocol used for sequencing step: standard or custom with PCR primers as sequencing primers. ✓ Execute

Pre-process example 3

Which primers for 16S?



NGS platforms	16S region	PCR primers	Estimated insert size to read (E. coli)	Sequencing
Illumina MiSeq PE (Pair End)	V3V4	341F & 805R	427 bp	250 bp x 2 or 300 bp x 2
Illumina HiSeq/iSeq100 (Earth Microbiome Project)	V4	515FB & 806RB	250 bp	150 x 2

Name of primer F=forward, R=reverse	Sequence		
8F	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG		
27F	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG		
336R	ACTGCTGCSYCCCGTAGGAGTCT		
337F	GACTCCTACGGGAGGCWGCAG		
337F	GACTCCTACGGGAGGCWGCAG		
341F	CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG		
515FB	GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA		
518R	GTATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG		
533F	GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA		
785F	GGATTAGATACCCTGGTA		
805R	GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC		
806RB	GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT		
907R	CCGTCAATTCCTTTRAGTTT		
928F	TAAAACTYAAAKGAATTGACGGG		
1100F	YAACGAGCGCAACCC		
1100R	GGGTTGCGCTCGTTG		
1492R	CGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT		

What does the Pre-process tool do?

- Delete sequence with not expected lengths
- Delete sequences with ambiguous bases (N)
- Delete sequences do not contain good primers




- Dereplication
- + removing homopolymers (size = 8) for 454 data
- + quality filter for 454 data

VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics.

Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. PeerJ. 2016 Oct 18;4:e2584. eCollection 2016.


The aim of Vsearch is to merge R1 with R2

Case of a sequencing of overlapping sequences: case of 16S V3-V4 amplicon MiSeq sequencing:



The aim of Vsearch is to merge R1 with R2

Case of a sequencing of over-overlapping sequences:




Yourturn! - 2

Exercise 2.1

Go to « MiSeq R1 R2 » history

Launch the pre-process tool on that data set

→ objective: understand Vsearch software




>ERR619083.M00704

Execute

CCGTCAATTCATTGAGTTTCAACCTTGCGGCCGTACTTCCCAGGCGGTACGTT
TATCGCGTTAGCTTCGCCAAGCACACCATCCTGCGCTTAGCCAACGTACATCG
TTTAGGGTGTGGACTACCCGGGTATCTAATCCTGTTCGCTACCCACGCTTTCG
AGCCTCAGCGTCAGTGACAGACCAGAGAGCCGCTTTCGCCACTGGTGTTCCTC
CATATATCTACGCATTTCACCGCTACACATGGAATTCCACTCTCCCCTTCTGC
ACTCAAGTCAGACAGTTTCCAGAGCACTCTATGGTTGAGCCATAGCCTTTTAC
TCCAGACTTTCCTGACCGACTGCACTCGCTTTACGCCCAATAAATCCGGACAA

CGCTTGCCACCTACGTATTACCGCNGCTGCT

Miseq R1 R2



Exercise 2.1

```
What is the length of your reads before preprocessing?

Do you understand how enter your primers?

What is the « FROGS Pre-process: dereplicated.fasta » file?

What is the « FROGS Pre-process: count.tsv » file?

Explore the file « FROGS Pre-process: report.html »

Who loose a lot of sequences?
```

Expected amplicon size

410

Maximum amplicon length expected in approximately 90% of the amplicons.

mismatch rate.

0.1

The maximum race of mismatches in the overlap region

Minimum amplicon size

340

The minimum size for the amplicons.

Maximum amplicon size

450

To increase, if your sequences have low qualities
Use FASTQC to know it!

The maximum size for the amplicons.

Sequencing protocol

Illumina standard

The protocol used for sequencing step: standard or custom with PCR primers as sequencing primers.

5' primer

CCGTCAATTC

The 5' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). The orientation is detailed below in 'Primers parameters'.

3' primer


CCGCNGCTGCT

The 3' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). The orientation is detailed below in 'Primers parameters'.



FastQC: fastq/sam/bam

FastQC:Read QC reports using FastQC




Go to« MiSeq merged » history


Launch the pre-process tool on that data set

→ objective: understand output files


3 samples are technically replicated 3 times: 9 samples of 10 000 sequences each.

```
100_10000seq_sampleA1.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleB1.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleC1.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleA2.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleB2.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleC2.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleA3.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleB3.fastq 100_10000seq_sampleC3.fastq
```






- Error rate calibrated with real sequencing runs
- 10% chimeras
- 9 samples of 10 000 sequences each (90 000 sequences)




"Grinder (v 0.5.3) (Angly et al., 2012) was used to simulate the PCR amplification of full-length (V3-V4) sequences from reference databases. The reference database of size 100 were generated from the LTP SSU bank (version 115) (Yarza et al., 2008) by

- (1) filtering out sequences with a N,
- (2) keeping only type species
- (3) with a match for the forward (ACGGGAGGCAGCAG) and reverse (TACCAGGGTATCTAATCCTA) primers in the V3-V4 region and
- (4) maximizing the phylogenetic diversity (PD) for a given database size. The PD was computed from the NJ tree distributed with the LTP."


Miseq




Lecture $5' \rightarrow 3'$




Click on legend







Samples A only



Samples B only



Samples C only



Exercise 2.2 - Questions


- 1. How many sequences are there in the input file?
- 2. How many sequences did not have the 5' primer?
- 3. How many sequences still are after pre-processing the data?
- 4. How much time did it take to pre-process the data?
- 5. What can you tell about the sample based on sequence length distributions?


Preprocess tool in bref

	Take in charge
Illumina	\checkmark
454	\checkmark
Merged data	\checkmark
Not merged data	\checkmark
Without primers	\checkmark
Only R1 or only R2	\bigcirc
Too distant R1 and R2 to be merged	✓
Over-overlapping R1 R2	\checkmark


	Take in charge
Archive .tar.gz	\checkmark
Fastq	\checkmark
Fasta	\bigcirc
With only 1 primer	\bigcirc
Multiplexed data	\bigcirc
Demultiplexed data	\checkmark

Processed data by FROGS in bref






Clustering tool





Why do we need clustering?

Amplication and sequencing and are not perfect processes




chemistry, physics and randomness





Cf. RRNDB (ribosomal RNA operons database)
max. 21 copies of 16S in bacteria (Photobacterium damselae)
ex. E. coli 7 copies




To have the best accuracy:

Method: All against all


- Very accurate
- Requires a lot of memory and/or time

=> Impossible on very large datasets without strong filtering or sampling

How traditional clustering works?





Input order dependent results



decreasing length, decreasing abundance, external references

Single a priori clustering threshold







compromise threshold unadapted threshold


natural limits of clusters

Swarm clustering method






Comparison Swarm and 3% clusterings



Radius expressed as a percentage of identity with the central amplicon (97% is by far the most widely used clustering threshold)

Comparison Swarm and 3% clusterings



SWARM


A robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies.

The purpose of **swarm** is to provide a novel clustering algorithm to handle large sets of amplicons.


swarm results are resilient to input-order changes and rely on a small **local** linking threshold *d*, the maximum number of differences between two amplicons.

swarm forms stable high-resolution clusters, with a high yield of biological information.


Swarm: robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies. Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, de Vargas C, Dunthorn M. PeerJ. 2014 Sep 25;2:e593. doi: 10.7717/peerj.593. eCollection 2014. PMID:25276506




Clustering



Cluster stat tool





Your Turn! - 3

LAUNCH CLUSTERING AND CLUSTERSTAT TOOLS

Go to « MiSeq merged » history

Launch the Clustering SWARM tool on that data set with aggregation distance = 3 and the denoising

- \rightarrow objectives :
 - understand the denoising efficiency
 - understand the ClusterStat utility


1. How many clusters do you get ?

2. Launch FROGS Cluster Stat tools on the previous abundance biom file

FROGS Clusters stat Process some metrics on clusters.

- 3. Interpret the boxplot: **Clusters size summary**
- 4. Interpret the table: Clusters size details
- 5. What can we say by observing the **sequence distribution**?
- 6. How many clusters share "sampleB3" with at least one other sample?
- 7. How many clusters could we expect to be shared?
- 8. How many sequences represent the 550 specific clusters of "sampleC2"?
- 9. This represents what proportion of "sampleC2"?
- 10. What do you think about it?
- 11. How do you interpret the « Hierarchical clustering »?

The « Hierachical clustering » is established with a Bray Curtis distance particularly well adapted to abundance table of very heterogenous values (very big and very small figures).



Clusters size details


Most of clusters are singletons



Search:

Cluster size ↑↓	Number of cluster ↑↓	% of all clusters
1	4,595	77.36
2	865	14.56
3 After	154	2.59
4 clustering	84	1.41
5	42	0.71
6	29	0.49
7	23	0.39
8	13	0.22
9	6	0.10
10	6	0.10

Cumulative sequences proportion by cluster size



The small clusters represent few sequences

Cluster size

N.B.: Select area to zoom in.

Show 10 ¢ entries

Showing 1 to 9 of 9 entries

Sequences count 368 clusters of sampleA1 are common at least once with another sample


58 % of the specific clusters of sampleA1 represent around 5% of sequences Could be interesting to remove if individual variability is not the concern of user

Sample ↑↓	Total clusters ↑↓	Shared clusters 1	Own clusters 1	Total sequences ↑↓	Shared sequences 1	Own sequences 1
100_10000seq_sampleA1	881	368	513	9,975	9,447	528
100_10000seq_sampleA2	856	366	490	9,979	9,476	503
100_10000seq_sampleA3	867	384	483	9,972	9,478	494
100_10000seq_sampleB1	942	394	548	9,969	9,397	572
100_10000seq_sampleB2	881	373	508	9,970	9,455	515
100_10000seq_sampleB3	941	379	562	9,967	9,388	579
100_10000seq_sampleC1	910	371	539	9,965	9,413	552
100_10000seq_sampleC2	938	388	550	9,975	9,408	567
100_10000seq_sampleC3	878	362	516	9,967	9,442	525

Next

Previous

Hierarchical clustering




Hierarchical classification on Bray Curtis distance

Newick tree available too

(((100_10000seq_sampleA3,(100_10000seq_sampleA1,100_10000seq_sampleA2):0.096):0.100,((100_10000seq_sampleB1,

 $(100_10000seq_sampleB2,100_10000seq_sampleB3): 0.101): 0.102, (100_10000seq_sampleC2, (100_10000seq_sampleC1,100_10000seq_sampleC3): 0.098): 0.105): 0.883); 0.101): 0.102, (100_10000seq_sampleC2, (100_10000seq_sampleC1,100_10000seq_sampleC3): 0.098): 0.105): 0.883); 0.101): 0.102, (100_10000seq_sampleC3, (100_10000$


Chimera removal tool



What is chimera?

PCR-generated chimeras are typically created when an aborted amplicon acts as a primer for a heterologous template. Subsequent chimeras are about the same length as the non-chimeric amplicon and contain the forward (for.) and reverse (rev.) primer sequence at each end of the amplicon.


Chimera: from 5 to 45% of reads (Schloss 2011)




Fichot and Norman *Microbiome* 2013 **1**:10 doi:10.1186/2049-2618-1-10

A smart removal chimera to be accurate

We use a sample cross-validation





" d" is view as chimera by Vsearch Its " parents" are presents

"d" is view as normal sequence by Vsearch Its "parents" are absents



- ⇒ For FROGS "d" is not a chimera
- ⇒ For FROGS "g" is a chimera, "g" is removed
- ⇒ FROGS increases the detection specificity

Your Turn! - 4

LAUNCH THE REMOVE CHIMERA TOOL


Go to « MiSeq merged » history


Launch the « FROGS Remove Chimera » tool

Follow by the « FROGS ClusterStat » tool on the swarm d1d3 non chimera abundance biom

\rightarrow objectives :

- understand the efficiency of the chimera removal
- make links between small abundant OTUs and chimeras






- 1. Understand the « FROGS remove chimera : report.html»
 - a. How many clusters are kept after chimera removal?
 - b. How many sequences that represent? So what abundance?
 - c. What do you conclude?

- 2. Launch « FROGS ClusterStat » tool on non_chimera_abundance.biom
- 3. Rename output in summary_nonchimera.html
- 4. Compare the HTML files
 - a. Of what are mainly composed singleton? (compare with previous summary.html)
 - b. What are their abundance?
 - c. What do you conclude?

The weakly abundant Clusters are mainly false positives, our data would be much more exact if we remove them

Filters tool



Affiliation runs long time

Advise:

Apply filters between "Chimera Removal" and "Affiliation". Remove OTUs with weak abundance and non redundant before affiliation.

You will gain time!

Filters

Filters allows to filter the result thanks to different criteria et may be used after different steps of pipeline :

- On the abundance
- On RDP affiliation


After Affiliation tool

- On Blast affiliation
- On phix contaminant


FROGS Filters	×			
Sequences file				
) Abundance file				
output_fasta (fasta)	8			
output_biom (biom1)				
output_excluded (tabular)				
output_summary (html)				

Filters


4 filter sections




Input




Filter 1: abundance





Filter 4 : contamination



Soon, several contaminant banks

Your Turn! - 5

LAUNCH THE « FILTERS » TOOL


Go to history « MiSeq merged »

Launch « Filters » tool with non_chimera_abundance.biom, non_chimera.fasta

Apply 2 filters:

- Minimum proportion/number of sequences to keep OTU: 0.00005*
- Minimum number of samples: 3

→ objective : play with filters, understand their impacts on falses-positives OTUs



Filters

No filters

No filters

No filters

✓ Execute


*** THE FILTERS ON BLAST

*** THE FILTERS ON CONTAMINATIONS

If you want to filter OTUs on classical contaminations.

If you want to filter OTUs on their taxonomic affiliation produced by RDP.

If you want to filter OTUs on their taxonomic affiliation produced by Blast.









If Filters fields are « Apply » so you have to fill at one field. Otherwise, galaxy become red!


- 1. What are the output files of "Filters"?
- 2. Explore "FROGS Filter: report.html" file.
- 3. How many OTUs have you removed?
- 4. Build the Venn diagram on the two filters.
- 5. How many OTUs have you removed with each filter "abundance > 0.005%", "Remove OTUs that are not present at least in 3 samples"?
- 6. How many OTUs do they remain?
- 7. Is there a sample more impacted than the others?
- 8. To characterize these new OTUs, do not forget to launch "FROGS Cluster Stat" tool, and rename the output HTML file.



What are the remaining singletons?





Venn on removed OTUs



Close

Affiliation tool





silva138 16S

No

OTU seed sequence

Abundance file

✓ Execute

Yes

Select reference from the list


Also perform RDP assignation?

OTU sequences (format: fasta).

OTU abundances (format: BIOM).

OR

Optional



1 Cluster = 2 affiliations

1. RDPClassifier* (Ribosomal Database Project): one affiliation with bootstrap, on each taxonomic subdivision.

Bacteria(100);Firmicutes(100);Clostridia(100);Clostridiales(100);Lachnospiraceae(100);Pseudobutyrivibrio(80); Pseudobutyrivibrio xylanivorans (80)

2. NCBI Blastn+**: all identical Best Hits with identity %, coverage %, e-value, alignment length and a special tag "Multi-affiliation".

Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Pseudobutyrivibrio; Pseudobutyrivibrio ruminis; Pseudobutyrivibrio xylanivorans

Identity: 100% and Coverage: 100%

^{*} Appl. Environ. Microbiol. August 2007 vol. 73 no. 16 5261-5267. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00062-07 Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Qiong Wang, George M.Garrity, James M. Tiedje and James R. Cole

Affiliation Strategy of FROGS

Blastn+ with "Multi-affiliation" management

V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S unknown species
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens
V2 V4	Pactoria Firmiantos Clastridia Clastridia colonospirasona Pacudabut, rivibilia 165 ruman bactarium 8 0202 0
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S rumen bacterium 8 9293-9
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S Pseudobutyrivibrio xylanivorans
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S Pseudobutyrivibrio ruminis

5 identical blast best hits on SILVA 123 databank

Affiliation Strategy of FROGS

Blastn+ with "Multi-affiliation" management

V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S unknown species
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S rumen bacterium 8 9293-9
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S Pseudobutyrivibrio xylanivorans
V3 – V4	Bacteria Firmicutes Clostridia Clostridiales Lachnospiraceae Pseudobutyrivibrio 16S Pseudobutyrivibrio ruminis



FROGS Affiliation: Bacteria | Firmicutes | Clostridia | Clostridiales | Lachnospiraceae | Pseudobutyrivibrio | **Multi-affiliation**

Your Turn! — 6

LAUNCH THE « FROGS AFFILIATION » TOOL

Exercise 6.1

Go to « MiSeq merged » history

Launch the « FROGS Affiliation » tool with

- SILVA 123 or 128 or 132 16S database
- FROGS Filters abundance biom and fasta files (after swarm d1+d3, remove chimera and filter low abundances)
- \rightarrow objectives :
 - understand abundance tables columns
 - understand the BLAST affiliation


Miseq merged

FROGS Affiliation OTU X

OTU seed sequence

Abundance file
biom_affiliation (biom1) (column)

Affiliation




Exercise 6.1

- 1. What are the « FROGS Affiliation » output files?
- 2. How many sequences are affiliated by BLAST?
- 3. Click on the « eye » button on the BIOM output file, what do you understand?
- 4. Use the Biom_to_TSV tool on this last file and click again on the "eye" on the new output generated.

What do the columns?

What is the difference if we click on case or not? What consequence about weight of your

file?



FROGS - FIND RAPIDLY OTU WITH GALAXY SOLUTION

FROGS pipeline

FROGS Upload archive from your computer

FROGS Demultiplex reads

Split by samples the reads in function of inner barcode.

FROGS Pre-process Step 1 in metagenomics analysis: denoising and dereplication.

FROGS Clustering swarm Step 2 in metagenomics analysis: clustering.

FROGS Remove chimera Step 3 in metagenomics analysis : Remove PCR chimera in each sample.

<u>FROGS Filters</u> Filters OTUs on several criteria.

FROGS Affiliation OTU Step 4 in metagenomics analysis : Taxonomic affiliation of each OTU's seed by RDPtools and BLAST

FROGS BIOM to TSV Converts a BIOM file in TSV file.

FROGS Clusters stat Process some metrics on clusters.

FROGS Affiliations stat
Process some metrics on
taxonomies.

FROGS BIOM to std BIOM Converts a FROGS BIOM in fully compatible BIOM.

FROGS Abundance normalisation

Exercise 6.1

5. Understand Blast affiliations - Cluster_2388 (affiliation from silva 123)

blast_subject	blast_evalue	blast_len	blast_perc_q uery_covera ge	blast_perc_id entity	blast_taxonomy
JN880417.1.1422	0.0	360	88.88	99.44	Bacteria; Planctomycetes; Planctomycetacia; Planctomycetales; Planctomycetaceae; Telmatocola; Telmatocola sphagniphila

Blast JN880417.1.1422 vs our OTU

OTU length: 405

Excellent blast but no matches at the beginning of OTU.

Telmatocola sphagniphila strain SP2 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence						
Sequen	ce ID: [ef NR_118328.1 Len	gth: 1422 Number of M	atches: 1		
Range 1	l: 375	to 734 GenBank Grap	<u>phics</u>	▼ Nex	ct Match 🛕 Previous Match	
Score		Expect	Identities	Gaps	Strand	
654 bi	ts(35	4) 0.0	358/360(99%)	0/360(0%)	Plus/Plus	
Query	46	CGCGTGCGCGATGAAGG	GCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCGC	CGAAAGAGGTAATAAAG	GGAAACCT 105	
Sbjct	375	CGCGTGCGCGATGAAG	SCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCGC	CGAAAGAGGSAATAAAG	GGAAACTT 434	
Query	106	GATTGAACCTCAGTAAG	GCTCGGGCTAAGTTTGTGCC	CAGCAGCCGCGGTAAGA	CGAACCGA 165	
Sbjct	435	GATTGAACCTCAGTAAG	GCTCGGGCTAAGTTTGTGCC	CAGCAGCCGCGGTAAGA	CGAACCGA 494	
Query	166		ATCACTGGGCATAAAGGGCG			
Sbjct	495	GCGAACGTTGTTCGGAA	ATCACTGGGCATAAAGGGCG	GCGTAGGCGGGTTTCTA	AGTCCGTG 554	
Query	226	GTGAAATACTTCAGCTC	CAACTGGAGAACTGCCTCGG	GATACTGGGAATCTCGA	GTAATGTA 285	
Sbjct	555	GTGAAATACTTCAGCTC	CAACTGGAGAACTGCCTCGG	GATACTGGGAATCTCGA	GTAATGTA 614	
Query	286	GGGGCACGTGGAACGGC	CTGGTGGAGCGGTGAAATGC	CGTTGATATCAGTCGGA	ACTCCGGT 345	
Sbjct	615	GGGGCACGTGGAACGG	CTGGTGGAGCGGTGAAATG	CGTTGATATCAGTCGGA	ACTCCGGT 674	
Query	346	GGCGAAGGCGATGTGCT	rggacatttactgacgctga	AGGCGCGAAAGCCAGGG	GAGCAAAC 405	
Sbjct	675	GGCGAAGGCGATGTGCT	rggacatttactgacgctga	AGGCGCGAAAGCCAGGG	GAGCAAAC 734	

Telmatocola sphagniphila strain SP2 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence NCBI Reference Sequence: NR 118328.1 FASTA Graphics Go to: (V) NR 118328 1422 bp rRNA linear BCT 03-FEB-2015 DEFINITION Telmatocola sphagniphila strain SP2 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial NR 118328 I:645321338 Project: 33175 BioProject: PRJNA33175 KEYWORDS RefSeq. Telmatocola sphagniphila ORGANISM Telmatocola sphagniphila Bacteria; Planctomycetes; Planctomycetia; Planctomycetales; Planctomycetaceae. REFERENCE 1 (bases 1 to 1422) AUTHORS Kulichevskaya, I.S., Serkebaeva, Y.M., Kim, Y., Rijpstra, W.I., Damste, J.S., Liesack, W. and Dedysh, S.N. Telmatocola sphagniphila gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel dendriform planctomycete from northern wetlands JOURNAL Front Microbiol 3, 146 (2012) Publication Status: Online-Only REFERENCE 2 (bases 1 to 1422) CONSRTM NCBI RefSeq Targeted Loci Project TITLE Direct Submission JOURNAL Submitted (28-APR-2014) National Center for Biotechnology Information, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20894, USA REFERENCE 3 (bases 1 to 1422) AUTHORS Dedysh, S.N. TITLE Direct Submission JOURNAL Submitted (20-OCT-2011) Winogradsky Institute of Microbiology RAS, Prospect 60-Letya Octyabrya 7/2, Moscow 117312, Russia REVIEWED REFSEQ: This record has b ... our our our reference sequence is identical to JN880417:1-1422

Blast columns

OTU_2 seed has a best BLAST hit with the reference sequence AJ496032.1.1410

The reference sequence taxonomic affiliation is this one.

#blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	blast_perc_identity	blast_perc_query_coverage	blast_evalue	blast_aln_length
Bacteria; Actinobacteria; Actinobacteria; Bifidobacteriales; Bifidobacteriaceae; Metascardovia; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	411
Bacteria; Fibrobacteres; Fibrobacteria; Fibrobacterales; Fibrobacteraceae; Fibrobacter; Fibrobacter succinogenes	AJ496032.1.1410	100.0	100.0	0.0	419
Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Bacillales;Staphylococcaceae;Nosocomiicoccus;Nosocomiicoccus ampullae	EU240886.1.1502	100.0	100.0	0.0	427
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Pseudomonadales; Moraxellaceae; Psychrobacter; Psychrobacter immobilis	U39399.1.1477	100.0	100.0	0.0	426
Bacteria;Thermotogae;Thermotogales;Thermotogaceae;Petrotoga;Petrotoga miotherma	FR733705.1.1499	100.0	100.0	0.0	419
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Phyllobacteriaceae; Pseudahrensia; Pseudahrensia aquimaris	GU575117.1.1441	100.0	100.0	0.0	401
Bacteria;Bacteroidetes;Cytophagia;Cytophagales;Cytophagaceae;Persicitalea;Persicitalea jodogahamensis	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	421
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Deltaproteobacteria; Bdellovibrionales; Bdellovibrionaceae; Bdellovibrio; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	404

Convert to TSV

FROGS BIOM to TSV X Abundance file Sequences file tsv_file (tabular) multi_affi_file (tabular) 🗇 **Evaluation variables of BLAST**

Focus on "Multi-"

(affiliation from silva 123)



Does Kennard Play Classical Or Folk

Guitar

Songs?

Observe line of Cluster 1 inside abundance.tsv and multi_hit.tsv files, what do you conclude?

#blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	blast_perc_identity	blast_perc_query_coverage	blast_evalue	blast_aln_length
Bacteria; Actinobacteria; Actinobacteria; Bifidobacteriales; Bifidobacteriaceae; Metascardovia; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	411
Bacteria; Fibrobacteres; Fibrobacteria; Fibrobacterales; Fibrobacteraceae; Fibrobacter; Fibrobacter succinogenes	AJ496032.1.1410	100.0	100.0	0.0	419
Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Bacillales;Staphylococcaceae;Nosocomiicoccus;Nosocomiicoccus ampullae	EU240886.1.1502	100.0	100.0	0.0	427
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Pseudomonadales; Moraxellaceae; Psychrobacter; Psychrobacter immobilis	U39399.1.1477	100.0	100.0	0.0	426
Bacteria;Thermotogae;Thermotogae;Thermotogales;Thermotogaceae;Petrotoga;Petrotoga miotherma	FR733705.1.1499	100.0	100.0	0.0	419
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Phyllobacteriaceae; Pseudahrensia; Pseudahrensia aquimaris	GU575117.1.1441	100.0	100.0	0.0	401
Bacteria;Bacteroidetes;Cytophagia;Cytophagales;Cytophagaceae;Persicitalea;Persicitalea jodogahamensis	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	421
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Deltaproteobacteria; Bdellovibrionales; Bdellovibrionaceae; Bdellovibrio; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	404



Cluster_1 has 5 identical blast hits, with different taxonomies as the species level

Focus on "Multi-"

(affiliation from silva 123)


Observe line of Cluster 11 inside abundance.tsv and multi hit.tsv files, what do you conclude?

Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Caulobacterales; Hyphomonadaceae; Henriciella; Henriciella marina

multi-subject

100.0

100.0



Cluster_11 has 2 identical blast hits, with identical species but with different strains (strains are not written in our data)

Focus on "Multi-"

(affiliation from silva 123)

Observe line of Cluster 43 inside abundance.tsv and multi_hit.tsv files, what do you conclude?

Bacteria;Firmicutes;Negativicutes;Selenomonadales;Veillonellaceae;Multi-affiliation;Multi-affiliation

Cluster_43

Bacteria;Firmicutes;Negativicutes;Selenomonadales;Veillonellaceae;Selenomonas 3;unknown species

Cluster_43

Bacteria;Firmicutes;Negativicutes;Selenomonadales;Veillonellaceae;Centipeda;Centipeda periodontii

AJ010963.1.1494



Cluster_43 has 2 identical blast hits, with different taxonomies at the genus level

Back on Blast parameters

#blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	blast_perc_identity	blast_perc_query_coverage	blast_evalue	blast_aln_length
Bacteria; Actinobacteria; Actinobacteria; Bifidobacteriales; Bifidobacteriaceae; Metascardovia; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	411
Bacteria; Fibrobacteres; Fibrobacteria; Fibrobacterales; Fibrobacteraceae; Fibrobacter; Fibrobacter succinogenes	AJ496032.1.1410	100.0	100.0	0.0	419
Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Bacillales;Staphylococcaceae;Nosocomiicoccus;Nosocomiicoccus ampullae	EU240886.1.1502	100.0	100.0	0.0	427
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Pseudomonadales; Moraxellaceae; Psychrobacter; Psychrobacter immobilis	U39399.1.1477	100.0	100.0	0.0	426
Bacteria;Thermotogae;Thermotogales;Thermotogales;Thermotogaceae;Petrotoga;Petrotoga miotherma	FR733705.1.1499	100.0	100.0	0.0	419
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Phyllobacteriaceae; Pseudahrensia; Pseudahrensia aquimaris	GU575117.1.1441	100.0	100.0	0.0	401
Bacteria;Bacteroidetes;Cytophagia;Cytophagales;Cytophagaceae;Persicitalea;Persicitalea jodogahamensis	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	421
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Deltaproteobacteria; Bdellovibrionales; Bdellovibrionaceae; Bdellovibrio; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	404

Evaluation variables of BLAST

Blast variables: e-value

The Expect value (E) is a parameter that describes the number of hits one can "expect" to see by chance when searching a database of a particular size.

The lower the E-value, or the closer it is to zero, the more "significant" the match is.

Blast variables: blast_perc_identity

Identity percentage between the Query (OTU) and the subject in the alignment (length subject = 1455 bases)

Score		Expect	Identities	Gaps	Strand
760 bi	ts(41	1) 0.0	411/411(100%)	0/411(0%)	Plus/Plus
Query	1		TGGGGGGAACCCTGATGCA		
Sbjct	331		TGGGGGGAACCCTGATGCA		
Query	61		GCTTTTAATTGGGAGCAAG		
Sbjct	391		GCTTTTAATTGGGAGCAAG		
Query	121		ACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGC		
Sbjct	451		ACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGC		
Query	181		GTAAAGAGCTCGTAGGCGG		
Sbjct	511		GTAAAGAGCTCGTAGGCGG		
Query	241		TTTGCGCTGGGTACGGGCA		
Sbjct	571		TTTGCGCTGGGTACGGGCA		
Query	301		GGTGGAATGTGTAGATATC		
Sbjct	631		GGTGGAATGTGTAGATATC		
Query	361		CTGACGCTGAGGAGCGAAA		111
Sbjct	691		CTGACGCTGAGGAGCGAAA		741

Query length = 411
Alignment length = 411
0 mismatch
-> 100% identity

Blast variables: blast_perc_identity

Identity percentage between the Query (OTU) and the subject in the alignment (length subject = 1455 bases)

Score 614 bi	its(332)	Expect 5e-172	Identities 385/411(94%)	Gaps 5/411(1%)	Strand Plus/Plus	
Query	1			IGCAGCGACGCCGCGTGC		60
Sbjct	140728			IGCAGCGACGCCGCGTGC		140787
Query	61		CCGCTTTTAATTGGGAG	CAAGCAGTTTTACTGTGA	GTGTACTTTT	120
Sbjct	140788			CAAGC-GAGAGTGA		140842
Query	121			CCGCGGTAATACGTAGGG		180
Sbjct	140843			CCGCGGTAATACGTAGGG		140902
Query	181			GCGGTTTGTCGCGTCTGG		240
Sbjct	140903			GCGGTTCGTCGCGTCTGG		140962
Query	241			GGCAGGCTAGAGTGTAGT		300
Sbjct	140963			GCGGRCTGGAGTGCGGT		141022
Query	301			TATCGGGAAGAACACCAA		360
Sbjct	141023			TATCGGGAAGAACACCAA		141082
Query	361			GAAAGCGTGGGGAGCGAA		
Sbjct	141083			GAAAGCGTGGGGAGCGAA		

Query length = 411
Alignment length = 411
26 mismatches (gaps included)
-> 94% identity

Blast variables: blast_perc_query_coverage

Coverage percentage of alignment on query (OTU)

Score			Expect	Identities	Gaps	Strand	
760 b	its(41)	1)	0.0	411/411(100%)	0/411(0%)	Plus/P	lus
Query	1				CAGCGACGCCGCGTGCGGG		60
Sbjct	331				CAGCGACGCCGCGTGCGGG		390
Query	61				AGCAGTTTTACTGTGAGTG		120
Sbjct	391				AGCAGTTTTACTGTGAGTG		450
Query	121				GCGGTAATACGTAGGGTGC		180
Sbjct	451				GCGGTAATACGTAGGGTGC		510
Query	181				GGTTTGTCGCGTCTGGTGTC		240
Sbjct	511				GGTTTGTCGCGTCTGGTGTC		570
Query	241				CAGGCTAGAGTGTAGTAGG		300
Sbjct	571				CAGGCTAGAGTGTAGTAGG		630
Query	301				TCGGGAAGAACACCAATGG		360
Sbjct	631				TCGGGAAGAACACCAATGG		690
Query	361				AAGCGTGGGGAGCGAAC	111	
Sbjct	691					741	


Query length = 411 100% coverage


Blast variables: blast-length

Length of alignment between the OTUs = "Query" and "subject" sequence of database

	Coverage %	Identity %	Length alignment	
OTU1	100	98	400	
OTU2	100	98	500	

More mismatches/gaps





Divergence on the composition of microbial communities at the different taxonomic ranks

RDPClassifier

NCBI blastn+

Reliable?

Taxonomic ranks	Average divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 500setA	Average divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 100setA
Kingdom	0.00	0.00
Phylum	0.46	0.41
Class	0.64	0.50
Order	0.94	0.68
Familly	1.18	0.78
Genus	1.76	1.30
Species	23.87	34.80

Identical V3-V4

solution

Report on abundance table, the multiple identical affiliations

Only one best hit

Taxonomic ranks	Average divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 500setA	Average divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 100setA
Kingdom	0.00	0.00
Phylum	0.46	0.41
Class	0.64	0.50
Order	0.94	0.68
Familly	1.18	0.78
Genus	1.76	1.30
Species	23.87	34.80

Multiple best hit

Taxonomic ranks	Median divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 500setA	Median divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 100setA
Kingdom	0.00	0.00
Phylum	0.46	0.41
Class	0.64	0.50
Order	0.93	0.68
Familly	1.17	0.78
Genus	1.60	1.00
Species	6.63	5.75

With the FROGS guideline

Kingdom

Phylum

Class

Order

Familly

Genus

Species

Median divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%) 500setA filter: 0.005% -505 OTUs

0.00

0.38

0.57

0.81

1.08

1.43

1.53

Median divergence of the affiliations of the 10 samples (%)


100setA filter: 0.005% -100 OTUs 0.00 0.38 0.48 0.64 0.74 0.76


0.78

Careful: Multi hit blast table is non exhaustive!

- Chimera (multiple affiliation)
- V3V4 included in others
- Missed primers on some 16S during database building

Affiliation Stat








Taxonomy distribution


Alignment distribution







Taxonomy distribution

Bootstrap distribution



Exercise 6.2







Exercise 6.2

 \rightarrow objectives :

understand rarefaction curve and sunburst


- 1. Explore the Affiliation stat results on FROGS blast affiliation.
- 2. What kind of graphs can you generate? What do they mean?





Available only after AFFILIATION TOOL

Samples size ~8500 sequences




The curve continues to rise

The number of sequences per sample is not large enough to cover all of the bacterial families

Rarefaction tab


Available only after AFFILIATION TOOL

Samples size ~85 000 sequences



The curve slows to rise with ~50 000 sequences

With 60 000 sequences, we catch almost all genus of bacteria






Number of OTUs among their alignment results




by OTUs

by sequences



TSV to BIOM



TSV to BIOM

After modifying your abundance TSV file you can again:


- generate rarefaction curve
- sunburst §



Careful:

- do not modify column name
- do not remove column
- take care to choose a taxonomy available in your multi_hit TSV file
- if deleting line from multi_hit, take care to not remove a complete cluster without removing all "multi tags" in you abundance TSV file.
- if you want to rename a taxon level (ex : genus "Ruminiclostridium 5;" to genus "Ruminiclostridium;"), do not forget to modify also your multi_hit TSV file.

TSV to BIOM



Your Turn! — 7

PLAY WITH TSV_TO_BIOM

Exercise 7

- → objectives : Play with multi-affiliation and TSV_to_BIOM
- 1. Observe in Multi_hit.tsv and abundance.tsv cluster_8 annotation

#blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	observation_name	observation_sum
Bacteria; Actinobacteria; Actinobacteria; Bifidobacteriales; Bifidobacteriaceae; Metascardovia; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	Cluster_1	13337
Bacteria; Fibrobacteres; Fibrobacteria; Fibrobacterales; Fibrobacteraceae; Fibrobacter; Fibrobacter succinogenes	AJ496032.1.1410	Cluster_2	11830
Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Bacillales; Staphylococcaceae; Nosocomiicoccus; Nosocomiicoccus ampullae	EU240886.1.1502	Cluster_3	11405
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Pseudomonadales; Moraxellaceae; Psychrobacter; Psychrobacter immobilis	U39399.1.1477	Cluster_4	4125
Bacteria;Thermotogae;Thermotogales;Thermotogales;Thermotogaceae;Petrotoga;Petrotoga miotherma	FR733705.1.1499	Cluster_5	4034
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Phyllobacteriaceae; Pseudahrensia; Pseudahrensia aquimaris	GU575117.1.1441	Cluster_6	3966
Bacteria;Bacteroidetes;Cytophagia;Cytophagales;Cytophagaceae;Persicitalea;Persicitalea jodogahamensis	multi-subject	Cluster_7	2433
Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Deltaproteobacteria; Bdellovibrionales; Bdellovibrionaceae; Bdellovibrio; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	Cluster_8	2268

Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdellovibrionales; Bdellovibrionaceae; Bdellovibrio	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus
Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdello vibrionales; Bdello vibrionaceae; Bdello vibrionales; Bdello vibriona	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus str. Tiberius
Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdello vibrionales; Bdello vibrionaceae; Bd	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus str. Tiberius
Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdello vibrionales; Bdello vibrionaceae; Bd	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus
Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdello vibrionales; Bdello vibrionaceae; Bd	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus
Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdello vibrionales; Bdello vibrionaceae; Bd	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus
Cluster_8	${\tt Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdellovi brionales; Bdellovi brionaceae; Bdellovi brionales; Bdellovi bri$	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus
Cluster_8	${\tt Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdellovi brionales; Bdellovi brionaceae; Bdellovi brionales; Bdellovi bri$	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus HD100
Cluster_8	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Deltaproteobacteria; Bdellovibrionales; Bdellovibrionaceae; Bdellovibrio	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus HD100


CP007656.1036900.1038415 CP002930.1837665.1839157 CP002930.842397.843889 AJ292760.1.1334



Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus

AF084850.1.1436 BX842648.123565.125058 BX842650.295616.297109

2. Observe le diversity diagramm




Exercise 7

3. How to change affiliation of cluster 8 ????


Exercise 7

- 4. Modify multi_hit.tsv under excel for example and keep only:
- Cluster_8 Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Delta proteobacteria; Bdellovi brionales; Bdellovi brionaceae; Bdellovi brio; Bdellovi brio bacterio vorus CP007656.1036900.1038415
 - 5. Save in multihit_cluster8_modified.tsv
 - 6. Upload the new multihit file.
 - 7. Create a new biom with a TSV_to_BIOM tool
 - 8. Launch again the affilation_stat tool on this new biom
 - Observe the diversity diagram

Exercise 7



Normalization



Normalization

Conserve a predefined number of sequence per sample:

- update Biom abundance file
- update seed fasta file

May be used when:

- Low sequencing sample
- Required for some statistical methods to compare the samples in pairs


Your Turn! — 8


LAUNCH NORMALIZATION TOOL

Exercise 8


Launch Normalization Tool

- 1. What is the smallest sequenced samples?
- 2. Normalize your data from Affiliation based on this number of sequence
- 3. Explore the report HTML result.
- 4. Try other threshold and explore the report HTML result What do you remark?





Or, this number can be chosen according to the rarefaction curve. For example, we can choose the smallest number of sequences that still retain all the genus.




	CSV
Show 10 \$ entries	Search:

Sample ↑↓	Nb OTU before normalisation ↑↓	Nb OTU after normalisation $\uparrow\downarrow$
100_10000seq_sampleA1	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleA2	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleA3	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleB1	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleB2	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleB3	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleC1	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleC2	126	122
100_10000seq_sampleC3	126	122


Filters on affiliations

Do not forget, with filter tool we can filter the data based on their affiliation




Exercise 9

- 1. Apply filters to keep only data with perfect alignment.
- 2. How many clusters have you keep?





FROGS Tree

CREATE A PHYLOGENETICS TREE OF OTUS



2 choices to do your phylogenetics tree






Exercise 9


- 1. Create a tree with the filtered OTUs without template
- 2. Explore the HTML file
- 3. Look tree.nwk



Summary




Abundance





Tree View

Enabling zoom:



Tree View





Tree.nwk:

((Cluster 8 Bacteria Proteobacteria Deltaproteobacteria Bdellovibrionales Bdellovibrionaceae Bdellovibrio Multiaffiliation:0.00879, Cluster_117 Bacteria Proteobacteria Deltaproteobacteria **Bdellovibrionales Bdellovibrionaceae Bdellovibrio Multi**affiliation:0.00744):0.25827,(Cluster 28 Bacteria Proteobacteria Deltaproteobacteria Desulfobacterales Desulfobacteraceae Desulfobacter Multiaffiliation:0.14675, Cluster_31 Bacteria Proteobacteria Deltaproteobacteria Syntrophobacterales Syntrophobacteraceae Thermodesulforhabdus Multiaffiliation: 0.10644): 0.01759): 0.02059;

How works FROGS TREE?

Pynast needs alignment template to go fast

But if your species is not similar at 75% with a sequence in the template, your species will be not in the tree!


To find templates:

Based on 16S GreenGenes databank

https://github.com/biocore/qiime-defaultreference/blob/master/qiime default reference/gg 13 8 otus/rep set aligned/85 otus.pynast.fasta.gz

Based on 16S SILVA databank

https://www.arb-silva.de/fileadmin/silva databases/qiime/Silva 128 release.tgz



Tool descriptions

Example of Preprocess tool HELP



What it does

FROGS Pre-process filters and dereplicates amplicons for use in diversity analysis.

Inputs/Outputs

Inputs

Sample files added one after another or provide in an archive file (tar.gz).

Illumina inputs

Usage: For samples sequenced in paired-end. The amplicon length must be

inferior to the length of the R1 plus R2 length. R1 and R2 are merged

by the common region.

Files: One R1 and R2 by sample (format FASTQ)

Example: splA_R1.fastq.gz, splA_R2.fastq.gz, splB_R1.fastq.gz,

splB_R2.fastq.gz

OR

Usage: For samples sequenced in single-ends or when R1 and R2 reads are

already merged.

Files: One sequence file by sample (format <u>FASTQ</u>).

Example: splA.fastq.gz, splB.fastq.gz

454 inputs

Files: One sequence file by sample (format FASTQ)

Example: splA.fastq.gz, splB.fastq.gz

Outputs

Sequence file (dereplicated.fasta):

Only one file with all samples sequences (format FASTA). These sequences are dereplicated: strictly identical sequence are represent


Count file (count.tsv):

This file contains the count of all unique sequences in each sample (format TSV).

Summary file (report.html):

This file reports the number of remaining sequences after each filter (format HTML).

Preprocess summary



Details on merged sequences



1 How it works

Steps	Illumina	454
1	For un-merged data: merges R1 and R2 with a maximum of M% mismatch in the overlaped region(<u>VSEARCH</u> or <u>FLASH</u> or optionnaly <u>PEAR</u>). Resulting un-merged reads may optionnaly be artificially combined by adding 100 N between the reads	
2	If sequencing protocol is the illumina standard protocol : Removes sequences where the two primers are not present and then remove primers in the remaining sequence (<u>cutadapt</u>). The primer search accepts 10% of differences	Removes sequences where the two primers are not present, removes primers sequence and reverse complement the sequences on strand - (<u>cutadapt</u>). The primer search accepts 10% of differences
3	Filters sequences with ambiguous nucleotides and for merged sequences filters on their length which must be range between 'Minimum amplicon size - primer length' and 'Maximum amplicon size - primer length'	the tool removes sequences with at least one homopolymer with more than seven nucleotides and with a distance of less than or equal to 10 nucleo-tides between two poor quality positions, i.e. with a Phred quality score lesser than 10
4	Dereplicates sequences	Dereplicates sequences

Advices/details on parameters

Primers parameters

The primers must provided in 5' to 3' orientation.

Example:


5' ATGCCC GTCGTCGTAAAATGC ATTTCAG 3'

Value for parameter 5' primer: ATGCC

Value for parameter 3' primer: ATTTCAG

Amplicons sizes parameters

The two following images shown two examples of perfect values fors sizes parameters.




Advices/details on parameters

What is the differency between overlapped sequences and combined sequences?

Case of a sequencing of overlapping sequences: case of 16S V3-V4 amplicon MiSeq sequencing Imagine a real amplicon sequence of 400bp Imagine a Miseq paired sequencing of 2x250bp R1: 250bp R2: 250bp Reconstructing amplicon sequence is possible thanks to the overlap region Merged sequence length: 400bp, with 100bp overlap Case of a sequencing of non-overlapping sequences: case of ITS1 amplicon MiSeq sequencing Imagine a real amplicon sequence of 700bp 700bp

Imagine a Miseq paired sequencing of 2x250bp





Reconstructing amplicon sequence is not possible with overlap, an arbitrary sequence of 100Ns is added. It is named « FROGS combined »

Combined sequence length: 600bp, with 100 Ns

A "FROGS combined" warning points

Reads pair are not merged because:

the real amplicon length is greater than de number of base sequences (500 bp for MiSeq 2x250bp) the overlapped region is smaller than 10 (fixed parameter in FROGS).

Thus, "FROGS combined" sequences are artificial and present particular features especially on size. Imagine a MiSeq sequencing of 2x25i sequences length will be 600 bp.

Contact

Contacts: frogs@inra.fr

Repository: https://qithub.com/qeraldinepascal/FROGS website: https://frogs.toulouse.inra.fr/

Please cite the FROGS article: Escudie F., et al. Bioinformatics, 2018. FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution.

Download your data

In order to share resources as well as possible, files that have not been accessed for more than 120 days

are regularly purged. The backup of data generated using of Galaxy is your responsibility.

You have the opportunity:

1/ Save your datasets one by one using the "floppy disk" icon.

2/ Or export each history.

To export a history, from the "History" menu, click on the wheel, then "Export History to File":



55: FROGS Affiliation

DOWNLOADS

Export Tool Citations
Export History to File
OTHER ACTIONS
Import from File

To retrieve your history, click on the http link that appears automatically:

It is then possible to record the data:



This directory contains:




- 1. in the "datasets" directory: Your Galaxy files.
- 2. in the files "-attrs.txt" : Metadata about your datasets, your jobs and your history.

FROGS BIOM to Standard BIOM

FROGS biom to standard Biom

This step is required to run R



Some figures

Some figures - Fast

NB SEQ	TIME with complete pipeline without Filters
50 000	40 min
400 000	4 hrs
3 500 000	2 days
10 000 000	5 days

Speed on real datasets with filter

Escape statistics on assessments

9 600 000 sequences of a complete MiSeq run

Preprocess: 9 300 000 sequences

~ 15 min

Swarm clustering: 680 000 clusters

~ 10 hrs

Chimera removal : 556 700 non-chimeric cl.

~ 15 min

Filtering*: 556 200 OTUs

*Filter OTU abundances at 0.005%

PhiX removal

~8 min

RDP affiliation

~ 25 min

Blast affiliation

~ 5 min

FROGS: 500 OTUs

Simulated datasets, for testing FROGS' Accuracy

- 500 species, covering all bacterial phyla
- Power Law distribution of the species abundances
- Error rate calibrated with real sequencing runs
- 20% chimeras
- 10 samples of 100 000 sequences each (1M sequences)

Simulated dataset: 1M sequences

1


SWARM: 109 000 clusters

 \downarrow

VSEARCH: 21 000 clusters

1


filters: 0.005% **505 OTUs**



FROGS' Accuracy


- 1.10⁺⁸ synthetic sequences were treated with FROGS, UPARSE and MOTHUR, QIIME, with their guidelines, to compare their performances
- 20, 100, 200, 500 or 1000 different species
- power law or a uniform distribution
- 5 to 20% of chimera

→ Divergence on the composition of microbial communities at the different taxonomic ranks



FROGS' Accuracy


The four metrics used to compare results of FROGS, UPARSE, QIIME and MOTHUR are:




V3V4 Power Law

Affiliations divergence

Divergence on the composition of microbial communities at genus rank





The results of non-parametric paired tests (signed rank test) of Affiliation divergence on simulated data from UTAX




FROGS performed as well as or better than UPARSE and mothur in most settings. The infrequent condition in which FROGS performed worse than UPARSE and mothur was for small community sizes (20 species), except at genus level. It performed better than QIIME in all settings.


Huge number of FP inferred by mothur (up to 20 times more than the expected community size).

a few more FPs under power law abundance distributions and a few less under uniform abundance distributions (except for size < 100 species)

FROGS performed better than QIIME in all settings




False Negative OTUs



FROGS always produced fewer FNs than UPARSE.

FROGS sometimes produced more FNs than QIIME, especially on the V4 region.



Conclusions on assessments

FROGS performed much better than mothur in all settings

FROGS is less conservative than UPARSE for small size communities and better (for both FPs and FNs) for large size communities

FROGS is more conservative than QIIME on the V4 region and better (for both FPs and FNs) on V3V4 regions.

FROGS maintained both the number of FP and FN OTUs low, especially in complex communities.

- → cross-validation of chimeras, only used in FROGS, which avoids confusing real OTUs with chimeras.
- \rightarrow 3 step strategy (clustering by Swarm + chimera removal with cross-validation + filtering) = a low FP rate and the high probability of detecting a species that is really present in the dataset *i.e.* a high recall rate.
- → unlike QIIME or mothur, FROGS never produced Supernumerary OTUs, which further validates the FROGS OTU picking strategy.

Conclusions




Why Use FROGS?

- User-friendly
- Fast
- 454 data and Illumina data
- Clustering without global threshold and independent of sequence order
- Innovative chimera removal method (Vsearch + cross-validation)
- Filters tool
- Multi-affiliation with 2 taxonomy affiliation procedures

- Cluster Stat and Affiliation Stat tools
- Able to analyse ITS
- A lot of graphics
- Independant tools
- Few False Positives and few False Negatives

Our recommended guideline for mergeable reads:



How to cite FROGS

Frédéric Escudié, Lucas Auer, Maria Bernard, Mahendra Mariadassou, Laurent Cauquil, Katia Vidal, Sarah Maman, Guillermina Hernandez-Raquet, Sylvie Combes, Géraldine Pascal.

"FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution." *Bioinformatics*, , Volume 34, Issue 8, 15 April 2018, Pages 1287–1294

Bioinformatics, 2017, 1-8 doi: 10.1053/bioinformatics/bbt/91 Advance Access Publication Date: 7 December 2017 Original Paper



Sequence analysis

FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution

Frédéric Escudié^{1,1}, Lucas Auer^{2,1}, Maria Bernard³, Mahendra Mariadassou⁴, Laurent Cauquil⁵, Katia Vidal⁵, Sarah Maman⁵, Guillermina Hernandez-Raquet⁶, Sylvie Combes⁵ and Géraldine Pascal⁶:*

¹Bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees, MIAT, INRA Auzzeville CS 52627 31326 Castanet Tolosan cedox, France, ¹NIRA, UMR 1136, Université de Lorraine, INRA-Nancy, 54280, Champenoux, France, ¹Sdal, INRA, AgroParisToch, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France, ⁵MalAGE, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 7850 Jouy-en-Josas, France, ⁵MalAGE, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 7850 Jouy-en-Josas, France, ⁵GenPhySE, Université de Toulouse, INRA, INPT, ENVT, Castanet Tolosan, France and ¹Laboratoire d'ingénierie des Systèmes Biologiques et des Procédés-LISBP, Université de Toulouse, INSA, INRA, CNRS, Toulouse, France

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

¹The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as Joint First Authors. Associate Editor: Bonnie Berger

Received on May 10, 2017; revised on December 1, 2017; editorial decision on December 4, 2017; accepted on December 5, 2017

Abstract

Motivation: Metagenomics leads to major advances in microbial ecology and biologists need user friendly tools to analyze their data on their own.

Results: This Galaxy-supported pipeline, called FROGS, is designed to analyze large sets of amplicon sequences and produce abundance tables of Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) and their taxonomic affiliation. The clustering uses Swarm. The chimera removal uses VSEARCH, combined with original cross-sample validation. The taxonomic affiliation returns an innovative multiaffiliation output to highlight databases conflicts and uncertainties. Statistical results and numerous graphical illustrations are produced along the way to monitor the pipeline. FROGS was tested for the detection and quantification of OTUs on real and in silico datasets and proved to be rapid, robust and highly sensitive. It compares favorably with the widespread mothur, UPARSE and

Availability and implementation: Source code and instructions for installation: https://github.com/ geraldinepascal/FROGS.git. A companion website: http://frogs.toulouse.inra.fr. Contact: ceraldine.pascal@inra.fr


Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introductio

The expansion of high-throughput sequencing of rRNA amplicons has opened new horizons for the study of microbial communities. By making it possible to study all micro-organisms from a given environment without the need to cultivate them, metagenomics has led to major advances in many fields of microbial ecology, from the study of the impact of microbiota on human and animal pathologies


(Hess et al., 2011; Hooper et al., 2012; Jovel et al., 2016) to the study of biodivensity in environmental ecosystems and the search for biomarkers of pollution (Andrea and Bertin, 2016; de Varpas et al., 2015). Determining the composition of a microbial ecosystem, at low cost and great depth, is still largely based on the amplification and sequencing of biodiversity marker genes, also called amplicons, such as rRNA genes and ITEs. The clustering of sequences into

O'The Author(s) 2017. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com




FROGS'docs

Website: http://frogs.toulouse.inra.fr



Tuto: https://youtu.be/Kh6ZrlmKGoY






Pipeline FROGS on

http://sigenae-workbench.toulouse.inra.fr/galaxy/u/gpascal/w/to-test-frogs

All scripts on Github: https://github.com/geraldinepascal/FROGS.git





To contact

FROGS:


frogs@inrae.fr

Galaxy:

support.sigenae@inrae.fr

Newsletter – subscription request:

frogs@inrae.fr



Play list FROGS:

https://www.deezer.com/fr/playlist/5233843102?utm_source=deezer&

utm_content=playlist-

5233843102&utm term=18632989 1545296531&utm medium=web