



Who is in the current FROGS group?



Lucas AUER



Maria Bernard



Olivier Rué



Patrice DÉHAIS

Biology and statistical expert

Databank manager



Gabryelle **AGOUTIN**



Developers





Géraldine **PASCAL**

FROGS articles

Frédéric Escudié, Lucas Auer, Maria Bernard, Mahendra Mariadassou, Laurent Cauquil, Katia Vidal, Sarah Maman, Guillermina Hernandez-Raquet, Sylvie Combes, Géraldine Pascal.

"FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution." *Bioinformatics*, , Volume 34, Issue 8, 15 April 2018, Pages 1287–1294

Maria Bernard, Olivier Rué, Mahendra Mariadassou and Géraldine Pascal; <u>FROGS</u>: a powerful tool to analyse the diversity of fungi with special management of internal transcribed spacers, *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 2021, 10.1093/bib/bbab318

Bioinformatics, 2017, 1–8 doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bbx/91 dvance Access Publication Date: 7 December 2017

OXFORD

Sequence analysis

FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution

Frédéric Escudié^{1,1}, Lucas Auer^{2,1}, Maria Bernard³, Mahendra Mariadassou⁴, Laurent Cauquii⁵, Katia Vidal⁵, Sarah Maman⁵, Guillermina Hernandez-Raquet⁶, Sylvie Combes⁵ and Géraldine Pascal^{6,4}

"Bioinformatics platform Teolouses Mid-Fyrmenes, MMC, IMRA Auzeville SS SERT 31325 Castanet Telesane codes, Tennes, *1NRA, UMR 1135, Université de formain, INRA Manney, SERD, Champenoux, France, *SRA, URAB, URAP, AgroPariticel, Université Paris Saclay, Jooy en-Josas, France, *MalAGE, IMRA, Université Paris Saclay, 2659 Juny en-Dosas, France, *SenfrySE, Université de Teolouse, JNRA, INFL, ENVI, Castanet Telesan, France and *Jaborations' d'impérieur des Systèmes Biologiques et des Procédés-USBP, Université de Toulouse, INSA, INRA, NOSSS, Toulouse, ENVI, STANDES, SENFE, SENF

To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†]The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as Joint First Authors. Associate Editor: Bonnie Berger

Received on May 10, 2017; revised on December 1, 2017; editorial decision on December 4, 2017; accepted on December 5, 2017

Abstract

Motivation: Metagenomics leads to major advances in microbial ecology and biologists need user friendly tools to analyze their data on their own.

Results: This Galaxy-supported pipeline, called FROGS, is designed to analyze large sets of amplicon sequences and produce abundance tables of Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) and their taxonomic affiliation. The clustering uses Swgern_Tbackingac.zempacl.sess.MSEAGNL.combined.

with original cross-sample validation. The affiliation output to highlight databases confi ous graphical illustrations are produced along for the detection and quantification of OTUs or robust and highly sensitive. It compares fa QIIME.

Availability and implementation: Source code geraldinepascal/FROGS.git. A companion web Contact: geraldine.pascal@inra.fr

Supplementary information: Supplementary

Introduction

The expansion of high-throughput sequencing of rRN, has opened new horizons for the study of microbial c By making it possible to study all micro-organisms for environment without the need to cultivate them, metag led to major advances in many fields of microbial ecolo study of the impact of microbiota on human and animal

The Author(s) 2017. Published by Oxford University Press. All rig



Briefings in Riginformatics 22(6) 2021 1 6

https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbab31

FROGS: a powerful tool to analyse the diversity of fungi with special management of internal transcribed spacers

Maria Bernard (1)†, Olivier Rué†, Mahendra Mariadassou (1) and Géraldine Pascal (1)

Corresponding author: Geraldine Pascal, GenPhySt, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, F-31326, Castanet Tolosan, France. Tel.: +33 (0)5 61 28 51 05; E-mail: geraldine, pasca@inrae.fr

"Maria Bernard and Olivier Rué are ioint first authors.

Abstract

Fung are present in all environments. They fulfi important ecological functions and play a crucial role in the food industry their accurate characterization is thus indispensable, particularly through metabarcoling. The most frequently used markers to monitor fung are ITSs. These markers are the best documented in public databases but have one main weakness polymerase chain reaction amplification may report one non-everlapping reads in a significant fraction of the fung. When these reads are filtered out, traditional metabarcoling pipelines lose part of the information and consequently produce biased pietures of the composition and structure of the environment under study. We developed a solution that enables processing of the entire set of reads including both overlapping and non-overlapping, thus providing a more accurate picture of fungal communities. Our comparative tests using simulated and read data demonstrated the effectiveness of our solution, which can be used by both experts and non-specialists on a command line or through the Galaxiv-based web interface.

Key words: fungi; ΓΓS; metabarcoding; workflow; amplicon; metagenomics

Introduction


Using amplicon sequencing to describe the microbial composition of an environment is a time saving and cost effective strategy and can be used even for very large-scale surveys [1]. Most studies currently focus on the bacterial fraction of microbial communities but the fungal fraction is equally important, as entig at eudequitous and provide several ecosystem services [2], for a survey of the contractive of the contractive

for bacteria. The best candidates are internal transcribed spacers (TS), but these are more difficult to manipulate. The main problem with TS is size polymorphism, with a size range of 1-475 bases in UNITY 2.71 gl millim £164 where 95% of the sequences have a length between 1205 and 1505 bases, Most studies describing. TS data analyses process either 0 paired-end reads but filter out non-overlappine, non-mergeable reads, but systematically discarding taxes with longer TSs or fil single-end reads, thus limiting taxonomic resolution and losing the benefit of information contained in longer sequences [4, 3].

Maria Bernard is a bioinformatics engineer. She is a member of a platform team conducting NGS sequence analysis and designing software. She specializes in workflow development in particular for metabartoding analysis. Officiar Basis is shiniofirmatics engineer. He is in charpe of data analysis at the Migale bioinformatics facility. He specializes in the analysis of metabartoding


Mahedra Mariakasou has a Ph.D in statistics. It is involved in the development of new statistical methods and tools for metabarceding analysis clearlikes Pascala bas a Ph.D in instintinsics and coordinates the BROSS project. She is currently involved in designing solutions for long read problem swall low development and metagenomics analysis. Solutimited: 19 April 2021. Received for meteod form; 19 July 2021

@ The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals permissions@oup.co



FROGS'docs

Website: http://frogs.toulouse.inrae.fr



All scripts on Github:




https://github.com/geraldinepascal/FROGS.git

Available on: OANACONDA.ORG



https://anaconda.org/bioconda/frogs

https://toolshed.g2.bx.psu.edu/view/frogs/frogs/834843ebe569



To contact



frogs-support@inrae.fr

Newsletter – subscription request:

frogs-support@inrae.fr



June 2023 - FROGS News



- . FROGS v4.1.0 is available
 - · What has changed since the last version?
 - FROGS produces ASV, Modified tools: More readability o Functional inference; Differential analysis; Affiliation filte
- . New documentations for using FROGS v4.1.0
- · New databases are available
- . You need help to use FROGS, you are looking for training
- Who uses FROGS?

October 2022 - FROGS News




- . FROGS v4.0.1 is available
 - What has changed since the last version?
 - Tools added, Modified tools: Normalisation tool; OTU_filt
- New documentations for using FROGS v4.0.1
- · New databases are available
- . You need help to use FROGS, you are looking for training
- Who uses FROGS?

June 2021 - FROGS News



- . FROGS v3.2 is available
- What has changed since the last version?
- . New documentations for using FROGS v3.2 on Galaxy
- A redesigned website



B- Training on Galaxy: Metabarcoding

October 2024 - Webinar

FROGS Practice on 165 data

LUCAS AUER, MARIA BERNARD, LAURENT CAUQUIL, MAHENDRA MARIADASSOU, GÉRALDINE PASCAL & OLIVIER RUÉ
















Objectives





An abundance table

with
ASVs and
their taxonomic
affiliation.




Bioinformatics process






Objectives: a count table for statistics analysis

		Affiliation	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
	ASV1	Species A	0	100	0	45	75	18645
	ASV2	Species B	741	0	456	4421	1255	23
	ASV3	Species C	12786	45	3	0	0	0
	ASV4	Species D	127	4534	80	456	756	108
	ASV5	Species E	8766	7578	56	0	0	200




Material

Sample collection and DNA extraction




« Meta-omics » using next-generation sequencing (NGS)

DNA



Metagenomics

Metatranscriptomics




Shotgun sequencing

Almeida et al., 2014

What can they do?

RNA sequencing



What are they doing?

Story of barcoding

- Early 2000's: beginning of barcoding
- 1st DNA barcode: 65 bases of the mitochondrial gene of Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) dedicated to the identification of vertebrates
- 2007: 1st international published database
- 2009: chloroplastic markers RBCL (Ribulose Biphosphate Carboxylase; 553 pairs of bases)
 and MATK (MATurase K; 879 pairs of bases) -> standard markers for plants
- 2012: ITS, standard marker of fungi (length between 361–1475 bases in UNITE 7.1)
- 16S marker, mainly used for bacteria but no designated standard.

Which barcode?

Microbial lineages vary in their genomic contents, which suggests that different genes might be needed to resolve the diversity within certain taxonomic groups.

- 16S rRNA
- 23S rRNA,
- DNA gyrase subunit B (gyrB),
- RNA polymerase subunit B (rpoB),
- TU elongation factor (tuf),
- DNA recombinase protein (recA),
- protein synthesis elongation factor-G (fusA),
- dinitrogenase protein subunit D (nifD),
- Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) for Fungi.

The gene encoding the small subunit of the ribosomal RNA


The most widely used gene in molecular phylogenetic studies

Ubiquist gene: 16S rDNA in prokaryotes; 18S rDNA in eukaryotes

Gene encoding a ribosomal RNA: non-coding RNA (not translated), part of the small subunit of the ribosome which is responsible for the translation of mRNA in proteins

Not submitted to lateral gene transfer

Availability of databases facilitating comparison (Silva v138.1 - 2021: available SSU/LSU sequences to over **10,700,000**)



Uniting the classification of cultured and uncultured bacteria and archaea using 16S rRNA gene sequences Pablo Yarza, et al.


Nature Reviews Microbiology 12, 635-645

(2014) doi:10.1038/nrmicro3330


Secondary structure of the 16S rRNA of Escherichia coli

In red, fragment R1 including regions V1 and V2; in orange, fragment R2 including region V3; in yellow, fragment R3 including region V4; in green, fragment R4 including regions V5 and V6; in blue, fragment R5 including regions V7 and V8; and in purple, fragment R6 including region V9.


16S rRNA structure



16S rRNA copy number



16S rRNA copy number






Median of the number of 16S rRNA copies in 3,070 bacterial species according to data reported in rrnDB database – 2018

https://rrndb.umms.med.umich.edu/search/

2022:

<u>Bacillus megaterium</u> entre 1 à 21 copies selon les souches (médiane à 13) <u>Photobacterium damselae</u> entre 15 et 21 copie selon les souches (médiane à 17)

16S rRNA copy variation



E. coli

[B] The positions of sequence variation within 16S and 23S rRNA are shown along the gene organization of rrn operons. A total of 33 and 77 differences were identified in 16S rRNA and 23S rRNA, respectively.

[C] The number of bases that are different from the conserved sequence are shown for 16S and 23S rRNA for each rrn operon.

PLOS ONE

RESEARCH ARTICL

Strength and Regulation of Seven rRNA Promoters in *Escherichia coli*


lichihisa Maeda¹. Tomohiro Shimada^{2,3}. Akira Ishiham

1 Meiji University, Faculty of Agriculture Chemistry, Kawasaki, Kanagawa 214–8571, Japan, 2 Chemical Resources Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatauda, Yokohama 226–8503, Japan, 3 Roseard Center for Micro-Nano Technology. Hosei University. Kosaina: Tokos 184–8594. Japan


Sequencing produces marker reads

Steps for Illumina sequencing


1st step : one PCR




2nd step: one PCR




- 3rd step: on flow cell, the cluster generations
- 4th step: sequencing






Cluster generation

Prepare Genomic DNA Sample



Randomly fragment genomic DNA and ligate adapters to both ends of the fragments.


Attach DNA to Surface



Bind single-stranded fragments randomly to the inside surface of the flow cell channels.

Attach DNA to surface

Bridge Amplification




Add unlabeled nucleotides and enzyme to initiate solid-phase bridge amplification.

Bridge amplification


Cluster generation

Fragments Become Double Stranded Denature the Double-Stranded Molecules



The enzyme incorporates nucleotides to build double-stranded bridges on the solid-phase substrate.


Fragments become double stranded



Denaturation leaves single-stranded templates anchored to the substrate.

Denature the double-stranded molecule

Complete Amplification




Several million dense clusters of double-stranded DNA are generated in each channel of the flow cell.

Cycle of new strand synthesis and denaturation to make multiple copies of the same sequence (amplification) Reverse strands are washed

Sequencing by synthesis

Determine First Base



The first sequencing cycle begins by adding four labeled reversible terminators, primers, and DNA polymerase.


Light signal is more strong in cluster

Image First Base



After laser excitation, the emitted fluorescence from each cluster is captured and the first base is identified.

Determine Second Base



The next cycle repeats the incorporation of four labeled reversible terminators, primers, and DNA polymerase.


Sequencing by synthesis

Image Second Chemistry Cycle



After laser excitation, the image is captured as before, and the identity of the second base is recorded.

Sequencing Over Multiple Chemistry Cycles




The sequencing cycles are repeated to determine the sequence of bases in a fragment, one base at a time.

Barcode is read, so cluster is identified.

After first sequencing (250 or 300 nt of Reverse strand), fragment form bridges again and Forward strand can be sequenced also.

Illumina sequencing





Amplification and sequencing

Sequencing is generally perform on Roche-454 or Illumina MiSeq platforms or Oxford Nanopore Technology or PACBIO platforms.

Read quantity: ~10 000 reads per sample (454), ~30 000 reads per sample (MiSeq), up to several Tera of data (ONT).

Sequence lengths: >650 bp (Roche-454), 2 x 250 bp or 2 x 300 bp (MiSeq), Longest read > 2Mb (ONT or PACBIO)








Methods

Exemple of FROGS Pipeline







Cluster

Filters

Chimera

Clustering

31



FROGS_0 Demultiplex reads Attribute reads to samples in function of inner barcode

FROGS_1 Pre-process merging, denoising and dereplication

FROGS_2 Clustering swarm Single-linkage clustering on sequences

FROGS Cluster Stat Process some metrics on clusters

FROGS 3 Remove chimera Remove PCR chimera in each sample

Basic tools

FROGS 4 Cluster filters Filters clusters on several criteria.

FROGS Tree Reconstruction of phylogenetic tree

FROGS ITSx Extract the highly variable ITS1 and ITS2 subregions from ITS sequences

FROGS_5 Taxonomic affiliation Taxonomic affiliation of each ASV's seed by RDPtools and BLAST

FROGS 6 Affiliation Stat Process some metrics on taxonomies

FROGS Affiliation Filters Filters ASVs on several affiliation criteria

FROGS Abundance normalisation Normalise ASV abundance.

FROGSFUNC_2_functions Calculates functions abundances in each sample.

FROGSFUNC_1_placeseqs_and_copynumbers Places ASVs into a reference phylogenetic tree.

Optional basic tools

FROGS BIOM to std BIOM Converts a FROGS BIOM in fully compatible BIOM

FROGS Affiliation postprocess Aggregates ASVs based on alignment metrics

FROGS TSV_to_BIOM Converts a TSV file in a BIOM file 1

FROGS BIOM to TSV Converts a BIOM file in TSV file

Utilities tools

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Import Data from 3 files: biomfile, samplefile, treefile

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Composition Visualisation with bar plot and composition plot

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Alpha Diversity with richness plot

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Beta Diversity distance matrix

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Sample Clustering of samples using different linkage methods

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Structure Visualisation with heatmap plot and ordination plot

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Multivariate Analysis Of Variance perform Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA)

FROGSSTAT DESeq2 Preprocess import a Phyloseq object and prepare it for DESeq2 differential abundance analysis a

FROGSSTAT DESeq2 Visualisation extract and visualise differentially abundant ASVs or functions


FROGSFUNC_3_pathways Calculates pathway abundances in each sample.



Statistics tools



FROGS Tools for Bioinfomatics analyses



Tool names with numbers to make it easier to link tools, especially basic tools.

More name blocks.

FROGS_ FROGSSTATS_ FROGSFUNC FROGS_0 Demultiplex reads Attribute reads to samples in function of inner barcode

FROGS_1 Pre-process merging, denoising and dereplication

FROGS_2 Clustering swarm Single-linkage clustering on sequences

FROGS_Cluster_Stat Process some metrics on clusters

FROGS_3 Remove chimera Remove PCR chimera in each sample

FROGS 4 Cluster filters Filters clusters on several criteria.

FROGS ITSx Extract the highly variable ITS1 and ITS2 subregions from ITS sequences

FROGS_5 Taxonomic affiliation Taxonomic affiliation of each ASV's seed by RDPtools and BLAST

FROGS Affiliation Filters Filters ASVs on several affiliation criteria

FROGS Affiliation postprocess Aggregates ASVs based on alignment metrics

FROGS Abundance normalisation Normalise ASV abundance.

FROGS Tree Reconstruction of phylogenetic tree

FROGS_6_Affiliation_Stat Process some metrics on taxonomies

FROGS BIOM to std BIOM Converts a FROGS BIOM in fully compatible BIOM

FROGS BIOM to TSV Converts a BIOM file in TSV file

FROGS TSV_to_BIOM Converts a TSV file in a BIOM file 1

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Import Data from 3 files: biomfile, samplefile, treefile

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Composition Visualisation with bar plot and composition plot

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Alpha Diversity with richness plot

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Beta Diversity distance matrix

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Sample Clustering of samples using different linkage methods

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Structure Visualisation with heatmap plot and ordination plot

FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Multivariate Analysis Of Variance perform Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA)

FROGSSTAT DESeq2 Preprocess import a Phyloseq object and prepare it for DESeq2 differential abundance analysis

FROGSSTAT DESeq2 Visualisation to extract and visualise differentially abundant ASVs or functions

FROGSFUNC_1_placeseqs_and_copynumbers Places ASVs into a reference phylogenetic tree.


FROGSFUNC_2_functions Calculates functions abundances in each sample.

FROGSFUNC_3_pathways Calculates pathway abundances in each sample.

skip

0-Demultiplexing tool

Barcoding?




Demultiplexing

Sequence demultiplexing in function of barcode sequences :


- In forward
- In reverse
- In forward and reverse

Remove unbarcoded or ambiguous sequences


Demultiplexing forward



Demultiplexing reverse




Demultiplexing forward and reverse




The tool parameters depend on the input data type





FROGS Demultiplex reads (version 1.1.0) Barcode file: 1: barcode.tabular 🔻 This file describes barcodes and samples (one line by sample tabulated separated from barcode sequence(s)). See Help section Single or Paired-end reads: You have R1 Paired 🔻 and R2 seq. Select between paired and single end data Select first set of reads: Specify dataset of your forward reads Select second set of reads: Specify dataset of your reverse reads barcode mismatches: Number of mismatches allowed in barcode barcode on which end ?: Forward Forward at the begining of the forward end or of the reverse end or both? Reverse Both ends



Advices

For your own data

- Do not forget to indicate barcode sequence as they are in the fastq sequence file, especially if you have data multiplexed via the reverse strand.
- For the mismatch threshold, we advised you to let the threshold to 0, and if you are not satisfied by the result, try with 1. The number of mismatch depends on the length of the barcode, but often those sequences are very short so 1 mismatch is already more than the sequencing error rate.
- If you have different barcode lengths, you must demultiplex your data in different times beginning by the longest barcode set and used the "unmatched" or "ambiguous" sequence with smaller barcode and so on.
- If you have Roche 454 sequences in sff format, you must convert them with some program like sff2fastq

Outputs

7: FROGS_0 Demultiplex reads: report

6: FROGS_0 Demultiplex reads: undemultiplexed.tar.gz

5: FROGS_0 Demultiplex reads: demultiplexed.tar.gz

A tar archive is created by grouping one (or a pair of) fastq file per sample with the names indicated in the first column of the barcode tabular file.

1	2
#sample	count
ambiguous	0
MgArd0009	91
MgArd0017	166
MgArd0038	1208
MgArd0029	193
unmatched	245
MgArd0001	119
MgArd0081	246
MgArd0046	401
MgArd0054	243
MgArd0073	474
MgArd0062	1127

with barcode mismatches >1
sequence can corresponding
to several samples.

Sequences that match at only
one sample are affected to
this sample but
the others (ambiguous) are
not re-affected to a sample.

Sequences
without known
barcode.
So these
sequences are
non-affected to
a sample.

Format: Barcode

BARCODE FILE is expected to be tabulated:

- first column corresponds to the sample name (unique, without space)
- second to the forward sequence barcode used (None if only reverse barcode)
- optional third is the reverse sequence barcode (optional)

Take care to indicate sequence barcode in the strand of the read, so you may need to reverse complement the reverse barcode sequence. Barcode sequence must have the same length.

Example of barcode file.

The last column is optional, like this, it describes sample multiplexed by both fragment ends.

MgArd00001 ACAGCGT ACGTACA

Format : FastQ

FASTQ: Text file describing biological sequence in 4 lines format:

- first line start by "@" correspond to the sequence identifier and optionally the sequence description. "@Sequence_1 description1"
- second line is the sequence itself. "ACAGC"
- third line is a "+" following by the sequence identifier or not depending on the version
- fourth line is the quality sequence, one code per base. The code depends on the version and the sequencer

@HNHOSKD01ALD0H

ACAGCGTCAGAGGGGTACCAGTCAGCCATGACGTAGCACGTACA

+

CCCFFFFFHHHHHJJIJJHHFF@DEDDDDDDD@CDDDDACDD


How it works?

For each sequence or sequence pair the sequence fragment at the beginning (forward multiplexing) of the (first) read or at the end (reverse multiplexing) of the (second) read will be compare to all barcode sequence.

If this fragment is equal (with less or equal mismatch than the threshold) to one (and only one) barcode, the fragment is trimmed and the sequence will be attributed to the corresponding sample.

Finally fastq files (or pair of fastq files) for each sample are included in an archive, and a report describes how many sequence are attributed for each sample.

1-Preprocess tool



What does the Pre-process tool do?

- Merging of R1 and R2 reads with vsearch, flash or pear (only in command line)
- Delete sequences without good primers
- Finds and removes adapter sequences with cutadapt
- Delete sequence with not expected lengths
- Delete sequences with ambiguous bases (N)
- Dereplication
- + removing homopolymers (size = 8) for 454 data
- + quality filter for 454 data

VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics.

Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. PeerJ. 2016 Oct 18;4:e2584. eCollection 2016.

Bioinformatics (2011) 27 (21):2957-2963. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507 **FLASH:** fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies TanjaMagoc, Steven L. Salzberg

Bioinformatics (2014) 30 (5):614–620 doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt593

PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR

J. Zhang, K. Kobert, T. Flouri, A. Stamatakis,

EMBnet Journal, Vol17 no1. doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads

Marcel Martin


Exemples of different preprocess panels for your future personal uses.

Illumina

Sequencer


Illumina


Select the sequencing technology used to produce the sequences.




У










Longreads

Sequencer

Longreads (PACBIO, ONT)

Select the sequencing technology used to produce the sequences.




Sample


Sequencer

Longreads (PACBIO, ONT)

Select the sequencing technology used to produce the sequences.

Input type One file by sample Samples files can be provided in single archive or with one file by sample. Samples 1: Samples Name Mockbact The sample name. Sequence file ď 11: Mockbact.fastq FASTQ file of sample. + Insert Samples







454


Sequencer

454


Select the sequencing technology used to produce the sequences.







Which primers for 16S?



NGS platforms	16S region	PCR primers	Estimated insert size to read (E. coli)	Sequencing
Illumina MiSeq PE (Pair End)	V3V4	341F & 805R	427 bp	250 bp x 2 or 300 bp x 2
Illumina HiSeq/iSeq100 (Earth Microbiome Project)	V4	515FB & 806RB	250 bp	150 x 2

Name of primer F=forward, R=reverse	Sequence	
8F	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	
27F	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG	
336R	ACTGCTGCSYCCCGTAGGAGTCT	
337F	GACTCCTACGGGAGGCWGCAG	
337F	GACTCCTACGGGAGGCWGCAG	
341F	CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG	
515FB	GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA	
518R	GTATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG	
533F	GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA	
785F	GGATTAGATACCCTGGTA	
805R	GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC	
806RB	GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT	
907R	CCGTCAATTCCTTTRAGTTT	
928F	TAAAACTYAAAKGAATTGACGGG	
1100F	YAACGAGCGCAACCC	
1100R	GGGTTGCGCTCGTTG	
1492R	CGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT	


Cf. http://help.ezbiocloud.net/16s-rrna-and-16s-rrna-gene/

How work reads merging?

WITH VSEARCH


The aim of Vsearch is to merge R1 with R2

Case of a sequencing of overlapping sequences: case of 16S V3-V4 amplicon MiSeq sequencing:



The aim of Vsearch is to merge R1 with R2

Case of a sequencing of over-overlapping sequences:



Practice:

Exercise

Go to « 16S » history

Launch the pre-process tool on that data set

→ objective: understand Vsearch software

16S dataset presentation:

A real analysis provided by Stéphane Chaillou et al.

Comparison of meat and seafood bacterial communities.

8 environment types (EnvType) :

- Meat → Ground Beef, Ground veal, Poultry sausage, Diced bacon
- Seafood → Cooked schrimps, Smoked salmon, Salmon filet, Cod filet















Chaillou, S. et al (2015). Origin and ecological selection of core and food-specific bacterial communities associated with meat and seafood spoilage. ISME J, 9(5):1105-1118.

16S dataset presentation:



From Chaillou paper, we produced simulated data:


- 64 samples of 16S amplicons
- R1 and R2 overlapping reads of 300 bases.
- 8 replicates per condition
- with errors among the linear curve 2.54e-1 2.79e-1


- with 10% chimeras
- Primers for V1-V3:
 - 5' AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3'
 - 5' CCAGCAGCCGCGGTAAT 3'

Chaillou, S. et al (2015). Origin and ecological selection of core and food-specific bacterial communities associated with meat and seafood spoilage. ISME J, 9(5):1105-1118.

FROGS_1 Pre-process merging, denoising and dereplication (Galaxy Version 4.1.0+galaxy1)	
equencer	
llumina	
elect the sequencing technology used to produce the sequences.	
TAR Archive	
Samples files can be provided in a single TAR archive or sample by sample (with one or two files each). TAR archive file	
1: chaillou_withprimers_64renamedsamples_V1V3_10000seq_R1R2.tar.gz	
The TAR file containing the sequences file(s) for each sample. Are reads already merged?	
No	
Yes = The archive contains 1 file by sample: R1 and R2 pairs are already merged in one sequence. Reads 1 size	
300	
The maximum read1 size. Reads 2 size	
300	
The maximum read2 size.	
Mismatch rate	
0.1	
The maximum rate of mismatches in the overlap region (mismatch-rate) Merge software	
Vsearch is recommended (in comm	and line, prefer pear)
Select the software to merge paired-end reads (merge-software) Would you like to keep unmerged reads?	
 ✓ No, unmerged reads will be excluded. ✓ Yes, unmerged reads will be artificially combined. 	
No = Unmerged reads will be excluded; Yes = unmerged reads will be artificially combined with 100 N. (default No) (keep-unmerged	74 ed)

Minimum amplicon size
400
The minimum size of the amplicons (with primers) (min-amplicon-size)
Maximum amplicon size
580
The maximum size of the amplicons (with primers) (max-amplicon-size)
Do the sequences have PCR primers?
⊘ Yes
O No
5' primer
AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG
The 5' primer sequence (wildcalds are accepted). This primer must be written in 5' to 3' orientation (see details in 'Primers parameters' help section) (five-prim-primer)
3' primer
CCAGCAGCCGCGTAAT
The 3' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). This primer must be written in 5' to 3' orientation (see details in 'Primers parameters' help section) (three-prim-primer)





Ex: read R1

@63_0 reference=ASV_00517 position=1..300

AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAGgatgaacgctagcgggaggcttaacacatgcaagccgagggg tagaattagcttgctaatttgagaccggcgcacgggtgcgtaacgcgtatgcaacttgccctactgaaaa ggatagcccagagaaatttggattaatactttataatagactgaatggcatcatttagttttgaaagattt atcgcagtaggataggcatgcgtaagattagatagttggtgaggtaacggctcaccaagtcgacgatct ttagggggcctgagagggtgaaccccca

Ex: read R2

@63_0 reference=ASV_00517 position=1..300 errors=5%G

ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGcacggagttagccggtgcttattcttctggtaccttcagctacttacac gtaagtaggtttatccccagataaaagtagtttacaacccataaggccgtcatcctacacgcgggatggctggatcaggcttccacccattgtccaatattcctcactgctgctcccgtaggagtctggtccgtgtctcagtaccagtgtgggggttcaccctctcaggccccctaaagatcgtcgacttggtgagccgttacctcaccaactatctaatcttacgcatgct

R2 primer must be reverse transcribed

Use: https://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgibin/emboss/revseq

- 1. Do you understand how enter your primers?
- 2. What is the « FROGS Pre-process: dereplicated.fasta » file ?
- 3. What is the « FROGS Pre-process: count.tsv » file?
- 4. Explore the file « FROGS Pre-process: report.html » 💿
- 5. Who loose a lot of sequences?

- 6. How many sequences are there in the input file?
- 7. How many sequences did not have the 5' primer?
- 8. How many sequences still are after pre-processing the data?
- 9. How much time did it take to pre-process the data?
- 10. What is the length of your merged reads before preprocessing?
- 11. What can you tell about the samples, based on amplicon size distributions?

Q1: Do you understand how enter your primers?

Minimum amplicon size	
400	
The minimum size for the amplicons (with primers).	
Maximum amplicon size	
580	
The maximum size for the amplicons (with primers).	N.B.
Sequencing protocol	
Illumina standard	Primers in $5' \rightarrow 3'$ sens
The protocol used for sequencing step: standard or custom with PCR primers as sequencing primers.	
5' primer	
AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	
The 5' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). The orientation is detailed below in 'Primers parameters' help section.	
3' primer	
CCAGCAGCCGCGGTAAT	
The 3' primer sequence (wildcards are accepted). The orientation is detailed below in 'Primers parameters' help section.	
✓ Execute	



R2 primer must be reverse transcribed
Use https://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgibin/emboss/revseq

Answer 2 & 3

Q2: What is the « FROGS Pre-process: dereplicated.fasta » file ?

Q3: What is the « FROGS Pre-process: count.tsv » file?

>06_5949;size=4 reference=otu_00680 position=1..300 errors=20%T AGACCGGCGCACGGGTGCGTAACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCATA >56 3551;size=1 reference=otu 00680 position=1..300 errors=21%A AAGACCGGCGCACGGGTGCGTAACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCAT >53 322;size=1 reference=otu 01408,otu 00680 amplicon=1..300,1..300 position=1..300 ATTGAACGGTGGCGGCATGCCTACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCAT >56 2589; size=1 reference=otu 00680 position=1..300 errors=21%C CAGACCGGCGCACGGGTGCGTAACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCAT >56_7560;size=1 reference=otu_00680 position=1..300 errors=21%C CAGACCGGCGCACGGGTGCGTAACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCAT >36_626;size=1 reference=otu_00680 position=1..300 errors=21%C CAGACCGGCGCACGGGTGCGTAACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCAT >53 6128;size=1 reference=otu 00231,otu 00941,otu 00680 amplicon=1..300,1..300,1..30 CTGGCTCAGGATGAACGCCGTAACGCGTATGCAATCTGCCTTTCACAGAGGGATAGCCCAGAGAAATTTGGATTAATACCTCAT >51_6860;size=1 reference=otu_00799,otu_00680 amplicon=1..300,1..300 position=1..300

Fasta sequence of all clean and dereplicated sequence *i.e.* only one copy of each sequence is kept

#id	BHT0.LC	T01	BHT0.LOT03		BHT0.LOT04		BHT0.LOT05		BHT0.LOT06		BHT0.LOT07	
06_5949	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56_3551	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53_322	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56_2589	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56_7560	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36_626	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53_6128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51_6860	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56 6806	0	0	0	n	٥	0	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥
56 3997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_		U	U	U	0	0	0	-	0	-	-	
59_6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	191	111
59_5144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
59_5852	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
60_1696	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
59_6656	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
50 1100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

count table for each sequence in each sample

Answer 4

By moving the mouse over the graphic, new information appears

Q4: Explore the file « FROGS Pre-process: report.html »




View in full screen
Print chart

Download PNG image
Download JPEG image
Download PDF document
Download SVG vector image


You can download graphics or table in different formats

Details on merged sequences

You can sort data in the table by clicking on the column headers



Q5: Who loose a lot of sequences?




All outputs are green but check the report.html

5: FROGS_1 Pre-process: report.html

4: FROGS_1 Pre-process: count.tsv


3: FROGS_1 Pre-process: dereplicated.fasta




equencer		
llumina		
elect the sequencing technology used to produce the	e sequences.	
Input type		
TAR Archive		
Samples files can be provided in a single TAR archive TAR archive file	or sample by sample (with one or two files each).	
1: chaillou_withprimers_64rena	medsamples_V1V3_10000seq_R1R2.tar.gz	
The TAR file containing the sequences file(s) for each Are reads already merged?	ch sample.	
No		
Yes = The archive contains 1 file by sample : R1 and Reads 1 size	R2 pairs are already merged in one sequence.	
300		
The maximum read1 size. Reads 2 size		
300		
The maximum read2 size. Mismatch rate		
0.1		
The maximum rate or mismatches in the overlage. Merge software	if your sequences have low qualities, you can increase	
Vsearch	this parameter	
Select the software to merge paired-end reads Would you like to keep unmerged reads?	But carreful!	
No, unmerged reads will be excluded. Yes, unmerged reads will be artificially combined.	ned.	
No = Unmerged reads will be excluded: Yes = unn	nerged reads will be artificially combined with 100 N. (default No)	(keep-unmerged)

FROGS 1 Pre-process merging, denoising and dereplication (Galaxy Version 4.1.0+galaxy1)

To check the sequence quality use FASTQC (present in galaxy tools)






Q6: How many sequences are there in the input file?


Q7: How many sequences did not have the 5' primer?


Q8: How many sequences still are after pre-processing the data?



Answer 9


Q9: How much time did it take to pre-process the data?





Answer 10

Q10: What is the length of your merged reads before preprocessing?



Q10: What is the length of your merged reads before preprocessing?


VHIU.LUIU/	9,337	97.03	9,064	9,064	9,064	9,060	9,060
VHT0.LOT08	9,436	97.33	9,192	9,192	9,192	9,184	9,184
VHT0.LOT10	9,165	97.64	8,983	8,983	8,982	8,949	8,949

With selection:

∠ Display amplicon lengths
∠ Display preprocessed amplicon lengths


at the bottom of the table

Q10: What is the length of your merged reads before preprocessing?




Before the preprocessing, 400 < sequence length < 555 After the preprocessing, the sequences were shortened


Q11: What can you tell about the samples, based on amplicon size distributions?








« Saumon Fumé » samples



« Bœuf Haché » samples



For each EnvType, we can observe different amplicon sizes. They correspond to different species.


N.B. amplicons with same size can represent different species.


2-Clustering tool

Why do we need clustering?

Amplication and sequencing are not perfect processes

- Polymerase error during PCR?
- Sequencing errors ?
- Natural variations ?






16S variability

Cf. RRNDB (ribosomal RNA operons database)

https://rrndb.umms.med.umich.edu/search/
max. 21 copies of 16S in bacteria (Photobacterium damselae)

ex. E. coli 7 copies




To have the best accuracy:

Method: All against all


- Very accurate
- Requires a lot of memory and/or time

=> Impossible on very large datasets without strong filtering or sampling

How traditional clustering works?





Input order dependent results



decreasing length, decreasing abundance, external references

Single a priori clustering threshold







compromise threshold unadapted threshold

natural limits of clusters

Swarm clustering method



This sequences is the seed of the cluster. Only the seed is kept for next processes.



1diff

the process stops (equivalent to the Kruskal algorithm when d = 1)

no more closely related amplicons,

explore the amplicon space

The

abundances of

each sequence in the cluster

are added


together. And the total

abundance is

given to the


seed.


Comparison Swarm and 3% clusterings



Radius expressed as a percentage of identity with the central amplicon (97% is by far the most widely used clustering threshold)

Comparison Swarm and 3% clusterings





Cluster stat tool

A RECURRENT TOOL

FROGS_Cluster_Stat Process some metrics on clusters (Galaxy Version 4.1.0+galaxy1)

Abundance file

7: FROGS_2 Clustering swarm: clustering_abundance.biom

Clusters abundance (format: BIOM)

Practice:

LAUNCH CLUSTERING AND CLUSTERSTAT TOOLS

Go to « 16S » history

Launch the Clustering SWARM tool on that data set with guideline 3.2 i.e. aggregation distance =1

- \rightarrow objectives :
 - understand the outputs from clustering
 - understand the ClusterStat utility

1. How many clusters do you get?

Launch FROGS Cluster Stat tools on the previous abundance biom file

FROGS Clusters stat Process some metrics on clusters.

- 2. Interpret the boxplot: Clusters size summary
- 3. Interpret the table: Clusters size details How many single singletons do you find?
- 4. What can we say by observing the **sequence distribution**?
- 5. How many clusters share "BHT0.LOT08" with at least one other sample?
- 6. How many clusters could we expect to be shared?
- 7. How many sequences represent the 106 specific clusters of "CDT0.LOT06"?
- 8. This represents what proportion of "CDT0.LOT06"?
- 9. What do you think about it?
- 10. How do you interpret the « Hierarchical clustering »?


Answer 1, 2 & 3

Q1: How many clusters do you get?

Q2: Interpret the boxplot: **Clusters size summary**


Q3: Interpret the table: Clusters size details -

How many single singletons do you find?



Most of clusters are singletons

Q4: What can we say by observing the **sequence distribution**?




The small clusters represent few sequences

nswer 5 to	Total clusters	Shared clusters	Own clusters	Total sequences	Shared sequences	Own sequences
9	Total clasters			·	·	
BHT0.LOT01	493	114	379	9,089	8,709	380
BHT0.LOT03	433	140	293	Q5: How many cl Q6: How many cl	usters share "BHTO.LO ⁻ usters could we expect	Γ08" with at least on : to be shared ?
BHT0.LOT04	474	152	322		equences represent the	
BHT0.LOT05	475	152	323	8,8 Q9: What do you	· · ·	
BHT0.LOT06	490	156	334	8,996	8,662	334
BHT0.LOT07	531	165	366	9,059	8,690	369
BHT0.LOT08	430	201	229	8,715	8,486	229
BHT0.LOT10	201 clusters	of BHTO.LOTO	17 Q	8,937	8,630	307
CDT0.LOT02		n at least once		9,270	8,767	503
CDT0.LOT04	with ano	ther sample	}	8,918	8,609	309
CDT0.LOT05	384	241	143	8,520	8,377	143
CDT0.LOT06	365	256	109	8,373	8,264	109
CDT0.LOT07	512	100	412 ~	30 % of the spec	ific clusters of C	DTO LOTO6
CDT0.LOT08	556	162	394	•	und ~1% of sequ	
Could be interesting to remove if individual						

variability is not the concern of user


Q10: How do you interpret the « Hierarchical clustering »?



((((CDT0.LOT02,CDT0.LOT08):0.312,(CDT0.LOT04,((CDT0.LOT05,CDT0.LOT06):0.518,(CDT0.LOT09,(CDT0.LOT07,CDT0.LOT10):0.533):0.582):0.757):0.816):0.840,(((FCT0.LOT07,(FCT0.LOT07,(FCT0.LOT03,FCT0.LOT05):0.257):0.262, ((FCT0.LOT08):0.352,(FCT0.LOT06,(FCT0.LOT02,FCT0.LOT10):0.427):0.631):0.805):0.832,(((MVT0.LOT07,SFT0.LOT03):0.493,(FST0.LOT06,(SFT0.LOT06,(SFT0.LOT06,(SFT0.LOT08, (SFT0.LOT01,SFT0.LOT07):0.132):0.345):0.354):0.570):0.655,(((MVT0.LOT06,(MVT0.LOT05,MVT0.LOT08):0.439):0.511,((FST0.LOT02,(FST0.LOT03,FST0.LOT05):0.147):0.179,((SFT0.LOT02, (SFT0.LOT04,SFT0.LOT05):0.211):0.227,((MVT0.LOT01,MVT0.LOT03):0.161,(MVT0.LOT09,MVT0.LOT10):0.341):0.466):0.526):0.661):0.681,(DLT0.LOT04,(((DLT0.LOT05,DLT0.LOT06):0.173,(DLT0.LOT08,((VHT0.LOT07, VHT0.LOT01,VHT0.LOT08):0.095):0.184,(DLT0.LOT01,DLT0.LOT03):0.231):0.267):0.325):0.411,((BHT0.LOT04,(BHT0.LOT08,((BHT0.LOT01,BHT0.LOT07);0.224,(BHT0.LOT05,BHT0.LOT06):0.231):0.309):0.352):0.462, ((VHT0.LOT03,VHT0.LOT06):0.387,(VHT0.LOT02,(BHT0.LOT10,(VHT0.LOT10):0.240):0.666):0.734):0.745):0.827):0.826):0.875):0.911):0.938);

Q10: How do you interpret the « Hierarchical clustering »?

N.B.: Hierarchical clustering is not all a phylogenetic tree!
Please consult with caution.




3-Chimera removal tool

What is chimera?

PCR-generated chimeras are typically created when an aborted amplicon acts as a primer for a heterologous template. Subsequent chimeras are about the same length as the non-chimeric amplicon and contain the forward (for.) and reverse (rev.) primer sequence at each end of the amplicon.

Chimera: from 5 to 45% of reads (Haas 2011


doi: 10.1101/gr.112730.110)



Fichot and Norman *Microbiome* 2013 **1**:10 doi:10.1186/2049-2618-1-10


A smart removal chimera to be accurate

We use a sample cross-validation



" d" is view as chimera by Vsearch Its " parents" are presents

" d" is view as normal sequence by Vsearch because it have not " parents ".



 \Rightarrow For FROGS "d" is not a chimera

⇒ For FROGS "g" is a chimera, "g" is removed

⇒ FROGS increases the detection specificity

Practice:

LAUNCH THE REMOVE CHIMERA TOOL

Exercise

Go to « 16S » history

Launch the « FROGS_3 Remove Chimera » tool

Follow by the « FROGS ClusterStat » tool

\rightarrow objectives :

- understand the efficiency of the chimera removal
- make links between small abundant ASVs and chimeras

FROGS_3 Remove chimera Remove PCR chimera in each sample (Galaxy Version 4.1.0+galaxy1)							
Sequences file (format: FASTA)							
6: FROGS_2 Clustering swarm: seed_sequences.fasta							
The sequences file Abundance type							
BIOM file							
Select the type of file where the abundance of each sequence by sample is stored. Abundance file (format: BIOM)							
7: FROGS_2 Clustering swarm: clustering_abundance.biom							
It contains the count by sample for each sequence.							


Exercise


- 1. Understand the « FROGS remove chimera : report.html»
 - a. How many clusters are kept after chimera removal?
 - b. How many sequences that represent? So what abundance?
 - c. What do you conclude?
- 2. What is the size of the largest removed cluster of chimeras?
- 3. Compare the HTML files
 - a. Of what are mainly composed singleton? (compare with previous report.html)
 - b. What are their abundance?
 - c. What do you conclude?

Q1a: How many clusters are kept after chimera removal?

Q1b: How many sequences that represent? So what abundance?

Q1c: What do you conclude?





6023 clusters are kept.
The 14015 removed clusters represent ~2.5 % of sequences

Here, chimera clusters represent many clusters ~70% but very few sequences.

Removed clusters are low abundance clusters.

Q2: What is the size of the largest removed cluster of chimeras?

Sample 11	Clusters kept ↑↓	% Clusters kept	Cluster abundance kept	% Cluster abundance kept	Chimeric clusters removed	Chimeric abundance removed	Abundance of the most abundant chimera removed	Individual chimera detected	Individual chimera abundance detected	Abundance of the most abundant individual chimera detected
VHT0.LOT02	205	35.90	8,862	The largest	cluster	410	19	372	446	19
MVT0.LOT10	254	60.48	9,313	of chim containe		180	10	169	304	92
VHT0.LOT08	261	45.87	8,852	sequen		332	10	310	344	11
VHT0.LOT01	198	35.42	8,832	95.90	361	378	8	365	382	8

92 chimeras are detected but only 10 are removed because 82 have been invalidated by the cross validation

Q3a: Of what are mainly composed singleton? (compare with previous report.html)

Q3b: What are their abundance?

Q3c: What do you conclude?

Cluster size	Number of cluster	% of all clusters
1	19,267	96.15
2	150	0.75
3	22	0.11
4	10	0.05

Cluster_Stat report after clustering

Most small clusters are composed of chimeras

Cluster size ↑↓	Number of cluster	% of all clusters
1	5,387	89.44
2	49	0.81
3	15	0.25
4	7	0.12

Cluster_Stat report after chimera removing

4- Cluster Filter tool

4- Cluster Filter

Goal: This tool deletes clusters among conditions enter by user. If an cluster reply to at least 1 criteria, the cluster is deleted.


Criteria:

The cluster prevalence: The number of times the cluster is present in the environment, *i.e.* the number of samples where the cluster must be present.


Cluster size: An cluster that is not large enough for a given proportion or count will be removed.

Biggest Cluster: Only the X biggest are conserved.

Contaminant: If cluster sequence matches with phiX, or the whole chromosomes chloroplastic and mitochondrial of A. Thaliana or your own contaminant sequence.



Prevalence filter – option 1



¹ Prevalence filter – option 2

FROGS_4 Cluster filters Filters clusters on several criteria. (Galaxy Versic	☆ Favorite						
Sequences file							
9: FROGS Remove chimera: non_chimera.fasta	▼						
The sequence file to filter (format: FASTA)							
Abundance file							
10: FROGS Remove chimera: non_chimera_abund	10: FROGS Remove chimera: non_chimera_abundance.biom						
The abundance file to filter (format: BIOM)							
Minimum prevalence method replicate identification	Need to know group	•					
File of replicated sample names	composition						
Replicate file to link each sample to its group (cf. Help section). Minimum prevalence		each cluster of its group to be of samples making up the group					
0.5 Fill the field only if you want this treatment. Keep OTU present in at	least this proportion of replicates in at least one group (must be a	proportion between 0 and 1).					



Prevalence filter – option 2

How to build the file of replicated sample names?

The file must consist of only 2 columns, separated by a tab.

The first column contains the exact names of the samples (exactly those contained in the biom file)

The second column contains the name of the group to which they belong. Please note that group names must not contain accents, spaces or special characters.

Example:

sample1 rich sample2 rich rich sample3 sample4 richAB sample5 richAB sample6 richAB richAB sample7 sample8 richAB sample9 low sample10 lowAB sample11 lowAB april21 sample12 april21 sample13

Thanks to get data tool, add it in your history



Prevalence filter – option 2

Results:

if we want to keep the clusters that are present in at least 50% of the samples of a same group, we set the threshold at 0.5.

The process will therefore keep the clusters present in at least

- 2 "rich" samples
- 3 "richAB" samples,
- 1 "lowAB" sample
- 1 "april21" sample

sample1	rich
sample2	rich
sample3	rich
sample4	richAB
sample5	richAB
sample6	richAB
sample7	richAB
sample8	richAB
sample9	low
sample10	lowAB
sample11	lowAB
sample12	april21
sample13	april21

and all clusters in sample9 since it is the only representative of the "low" condition.

1

Prevalence filter – option 2

mistakes not to be made:

```
sample1 rich
sample2 rich
sample3 rich
sample4 richAB
sample5 richAB
sample6 richAB
sample7 richAB
sample8 low
sample9 lowAB
sample10 lowAB
sample11 lowAB
sample11 april21
sample13 april21
```

```
sample rich
sample rich
sample richAB
sample5 richAB
sample6 richAB
sample7 richAB
sample7 richAB
sample8 low
sample9 lowAB
sample10 lowAB
sample11 lowAB
sample11 april21
sample13 april21
```

sample1 rich
sample2 rich
sample3 rich
sample4 rich AB
sample5 richAB
sample6 richAB
sample7 richAB
sample8 low
sample9 lowAB
sample10 lowAB
sample11 lowAB
sample11 april21
sample13 april21


valid

Creates artificially 3 columns

Creates artificially 3 columns

2

Cluster size filter



Here, user wants that each cluster has an abundance representing at least 0.005% of total number of sequences (i.e. 0.00005).

Here, user wants that each cluster has an abundance at least equals to 2 sequences -> single singleton will be removed.



Filter: Keep biggest cluster

N biggest clusters

50

Fill the fields only if you want this treatment. Keep the N biggest clusters (--nb-biggest-clusters)

Here, user wants to keep the 50 biggest clusters.

(4) Contaminant filter

lse contaminant FASTA file from the server				
	or you select one among available ones. (contaminant)			
Contaminant databank	or you select one among available ones. (containmant)			
phiX	Rem	ove phiX sequence (use	as buffer while	sequencing)
or example the phiX databank (the phiX is a c	control added in Illumina sequencing technologies).			
OR	Search for contaminant clusters.			
	Use contaminant FASTA file from the server			>ChrC CHROMOSOME dumped from ADB: Jun/20/09 14:54; last updated: 2005-06
	Either you use your own contaminant fasta file or you seld	ect one among available ones. (contaminant)		ATGGGCGAACGACGGGAATTGAACCGGCGATGGTGAATTCACAATCCACTGCCTTAATCACATTCGCTACA TACGCTACTATCTATTCTTTTTTGTATTGTA
	Contaminant databank	Remove sequences t	hat matches	CAAATTCCACCTTATTTTTTTCTAATAAAAATATATAGTAATTTTTTTT
	Arabidopsis TAIR10 Chloroplast and mitochondria	with chloroplastic or r		TCTTATTTAAAGAAGGCTTATATTGCTCGTTTTTTACTAAACTAGATCTAGACTAACACTAACGATTATC GATGGAGCCTCAACAGCAGCTAGGTCTAGAGGGAAGTTGTGAGCATTACGTTCATGCATAACTTCCATACC
	For example the phiX databank (the phiX is a control ad	c ·		ChrM CHROMOSOME dumped from ADB: Jun/20/09 14:54; last updated: 2005-06 GGATCCGTTCGAAACAGGTTAGCCTACTATAATATAAGGATTGGATTCTAATAAGTTCGAAACAGGTTAGCC
OR		chromosomes of A	. Thallana	ACTITAGGATTAGATCTTCTTATCAACCTACTACATTCTTCCTTGTTGGGGATGGGAACCCCTTTTGCAACC ACTITGGGTTTTGTCAAGGGGACCCCATCTGCATCCAGTTTCACTCTGAAAACCCCATCTGAAACCCAATTCTTGCAGAAGAATTAGTTGTTGATGATGTGAACCAATTCTTTCT
rch for contaminant clusters.				AGGCAGACGTAATGGTTTTTGGTTCAGAGGGAGTGTATTTTTGTGTAAACAGGTTGTAACGAGGATTAGGCT ACCATCCTTTGCCCGAGTGATCATATGATGTCTATTAGGTGAAAGTAGCTCAGGAGCAGCTGTCCCCAACATC
se contaminant FASTA file from the history			•	GTACCGCTGTCGCCAATAGGAACAGGATCTGAGCCTGCCGTACGCACAGGACAGTCTCTTTCTGATGTGGTAA
ner you use your own contaminant fasta file o	or you select one among available ones. (contaminant)			
elect a contaminante reference from history				
18: contaminant.fasta	Add in your history	(with getadata tool)	▼	
		of contaminant		
	your own me			

134

Practice:

LAUNCH THE CLUSTER FILTER TOOL

Exercice:

Go to history « 16S » history

Launch « cluster Filter » tool with non_chimera_abundance.biom, non_chimera.fasta

Use 3 criteria to filter clusters:

- cluster must be present at least in 4 samples
- Each cluster must represented a minimum of 0.005 % = 0.00005 (1) of the totality of the sequences
- cluster of phiX (2) must be removed


→ objective : play with filters, understand their impacts on falses-positives clusters


(1) Nat Methods. 2013 Jan;10(1):57-9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2276. Epub 2012 Dec 2. **Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing.** Bokulich NA1, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, Gevers D, Gordon JI, Knight R, Mills DA, Caporaso JG.

(2) https://www.illumina.com/products/by-type/sequencing-kits/cluster-gen-sequencing-reagents/phix-control-v3.html

Exercice:


- 1. What are the output files of "cluster Filter"?
- 2. Explore "FROGS Filter: report.html" file. How many cluster have you removed? How many cluster do they remain? Which sample keeps the least cluster and for which reason?
- 3. Build the Venn diagram on the two filters. How many cluster have you removed with each filter?
- 4. How many own cluster remains in BHT0.LOT08 ? To retrieve this information, which tool do you need to launch previously?






Filters by ASVs Filters by samples

Details by samples



Draw a Venn to see which ASVs had been deleted by the filters chosen (Maximum 6 options): Present in less than 4 samples Abundance < 0.005% (i.e 28 sequences) Present in databank of contaminants



Clusters distribution

Sequences distribution

Samples distribution

report.html of ClusterStat tool

Because of the "prevalence = 4" criterion, there is no longer an "own cluster" for any sample.

Sequences count

				≛ CSV
Show	10 🕈	entries	Search:	

Sample 1	Total clusters †↓	Shared clusters 1	Own clusters	Total sequences †↓	Shared sequences	Own sequences
BHT0.LOT01	98	98	0	8,690	8,690	0
BHT0.LOT03	135	135	0	8,377	8,377	0
BHT0.LOT04	150	150	0	8,643	8,643	0
BHT0.LOT05	140	140	0	8,544	8,544	0
BHT0.LOT06	145	145	0	8,646	8,646	0
BHT0.LOT07	150	150	0	8,671	8,671	0
BHT0.LOT08	195	195	0	8,479	8,479	0
BHT0.LOT10	165	165	0	8,606	8,606	0
CDT0.LOT02	92	92	0	8,750	8,750	0
CDT0.LOT04	161	161	0	8,605	8,605	0

Overview

- 1. Preprocessing
- 2. Clustering without fixed-threshold
- 3. Remove chimera
- 4. Cluster filters
 - → ASV Amplicon Sequence Variant

OTU -> ASV

A long-standing discussion

The ASV vs OTU debate launched by the arrival of dada2 is not so new and had been bothering us for several months/years.

In fact, the debate largely preceded the term "ASV", and is precisely what made us opt for Swarm in FROGS (just under 10 years ago).

To quote the author of swarm:

"The traditional term "OTU" is negatively charged nowadays. The ASV vs OTU debate is creating confusion in the community and some users now think that all methods producing "OTUs" use a fixed clustering threshold (i.e. 97%-similarity) and are inherently bad. Of course, this is not the case and there are several methods published before the ASV term was coined that produce ASV-like clusters, swarm included." To avoid that confusion, swarm's manual now only uses the generic term "cluster".

https://github.com/torognes/swarm/commit/0bb491f9bf646c22a5363c27dc31a6d4b2ad335d "


A question of vocabulary

A few years ago, the semantic problem was the opposite, and any method that didn't produce OTUs was questioned or even disqualified.

At the start of FROGS, we therefore chose to call our clusters "OTUs" at the end of the analysis (once the filters had been applied), but it's only a question of vocabulary, and the clusters produced by FROGS/swarm are very close to ASV in their construction.

In any case, they look much more like ASVs than "fixed threshold" OTUs. The best thing would have been to use a new term, but Fréderic Mahé didn't make that choice at the time introducing a new term could have led to confusion.

Since version 4.1.0 of FROGS, we have changed our vocabulary and all OTU terms have been changed to **cluster** or **ASV** in FROGS tools and outputs.



ASV process in FROGS

- --distance =1
- --seeds = variants of amplified sequences

FROGS_2 Clustering swarm

FROGS_3 Remove chimera

- VSEARCH with de novo UCHIME method
- innovative crosssample validation step

- 2 filters concerns ASV production
- ✓ the cluster prevalence
- ✓ the cluster size



ASV

FROGS_4 Cluster filters

Swarm --seeds produces:

variants of amplified sequences.

"Variants" because the output sequences are all different; but with no constraints on the extent of variation - one nucleotide to infinity. Received: 10 February 2023 | Revised: 5 June 2023 | Accepted: 6 July 2023

DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.13847

FROM THE COVER

Present address

Mol Ecol Resour. 2023;00:1-17.

Jonathan M. Palmer, Genencor Technology Center, IFF, Palo Alto, California, USA



© 2023 John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 1

A pile of pipelines: An overview of the bioinformatics software for metabarcoding data analyses

Ali Hakimzadeh ¹ Alejandro Abdala Asbun ² Davide Albanese ³ Maria Bernard ^{4,5}
Dominik Buchner ⁶ Benjamin Callahan ⁷ J. Gregory Caporaso ⁸ Emily Curd ⁹
Christophe Djemiel ¹⁰ Mikael Brandström Durling ¹¹ Vasco Elbrecht ⁶
Zachary Gold ¹² Hyun S. Gweon ^{13,14} Mehrdad Hajibabaei ¹⁵ Falk Hildebrand ^{16,17}
Vladimir Mikryukov ¹ Eric Normandeau ¹⁸ Ezgi Özkurt ^{16,17} Jonathan M. Palmer ¹⁹
Géraldine Pascal ²⁰ Teresita M. Porter ¹⁵ Daniel Straub ²¹ Martti Vasar ¹
Tomáš Větrovský ²² Haris Zafeiropoulos ²³ Sten Anslan ^{1,24} o
³ Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia
² Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Texel, Netherlands
⁶ Unit of Computational Biology, Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy
fINRAE, AgroParisTech, GABI, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France
*INRAE, SIGENAE, Jouy-en-Josas, France
⁴ Aquatic Ecosystem Research, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
⁷ Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Bioinformatics Research Center, North Carolina State University,
Raleigh, North Carolina, USA
^a Center for Applied Microbiome Science, Pathogen and Microbiome Institute, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA
[®] Vermont Biomedical Research Network, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, USA
³⁰ Agroécologie, INRAE, Institut Agro, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Díjon, France
³¹ Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
³² Zachary Gold, NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle, Washington, USA
²⁶ UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Oxfordshire, UK
²⁴ School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, UK
³⁵ Department of Integrative Biology and Centre for Biodiversity Genomics, University of Guelph, Quelph, Ontario, Canada
²⁶ Gut Microbes & Health, Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norfolk, UK
³⁷ Earlham Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norfolk, UK
³⁸ Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada
³⁹ Center for Forest Mycology Research, Northern Research Station, US Forest Service, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
²⁰ GenPhySE, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, Castanet Tolosan, France
²¹ Quantitative Biology Center (QBiC), University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
²⁰ Laboratory of Environmental Microbiology, Institute of Microbiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Praha, Czech Republic
²⁶ KU Leuven, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Laboratory of Molecular Bacteriology, Leuven, Belgium
³⁶ Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
Correspondence Sten Anslan, Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. Email: sten anslan@ut.ee

wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/men


Single-end data


CoMA DADA2 dadasanke AMPtk Anacapa BIOCOM-PIPE Cascabel eDNAflow **JAMP** LotuS2 MetaWorks MICCA **NextITS OBITools** PipeCraft2 QIIME 2 SCATA nf-core/ampliseq **USEARCH VSEARCH** VTAM SEED2 Tourmaline

Barque Dadaist2 DANIEL PIPITS PEMA

APSCALE


Paired-end data





Web-based (including Galaxy)

Affiliation tool



For more details on FROGS databanks: https://web-genobioinfo.toulouse.inrae.fr/frogs_databanks/assignation/readme.tx

Available databases in FROGS

https://web-genobioinfo.toulouse.inrae.fr/frogs_databanks/assignation/readme.txt

For exemples:

16S coi ITS 16S SILVA Pintail100 138.1 COI MIDORI LONGEST SP GB242 ITS1 extract 16S SILVA Pintail50 138.1 COI MIDORI MARINE 20180221 ITS UNITE Eukaryote 8.2 16S SILVA Pintail80 138.1 COI MIDORI 20180221 ITS UNITE Fungi 8.2 16S SILVA 138.1 COI BOLD 1percentN 22019 ITS UNITE 7.1 16S MIDAS S132_3.6 COI BOLD 22019 ITS UNITE Eukaryote 8.0 16S EZBioCloud 52018 COI BOLD 052022 ITS UNITE Fungi 8.3 16S DAIRYdb V1.1.2 COI MIDORI UNIQ SP GB249 16S Greengenes 13.5 COI MIDORI LONGEST SP GB249 16S MIDAS S138.1_v4.8.1 16S DAIRYdb v2.0 20210401V2.0_20210401 16S REFseq Bacteria 20230726 NCBI 16S REFseq Archaea 20230726 complete operon 16S-ITS-23S GTDB 08-RS214

1 Cluster = 2 affiliations

RDPClassifier*: one affiliation with bootstrap, on each taxonomic subdivision.

Bacteria;(1.0);Actinobacteriota;(1.0);Actinobacteria;(1.0);Propionibacteriales;(1.0);Propionibacteriaceae;(1.0);Cutibacterium;(1.0);Cutibacterium acnes;(0.57);

NCBI Blastn+**: one affiliation with identity %, coverage %, e-value, alignment length and a special tag "Multi-affiliation".

Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation

Identity: 100% and Coverage: 100%

Christiam Camacho, George Coulouris, Vahram Avagyan, Ning Ma, Jason Papadopoulos, Kevin Bealer and Thomas L Madden

^{*} Appl. Environ. Microbiol. August 2007 vol. 73 no. 16 5261-5267. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00062-07

Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy.

Qiong Wang, George M.Garrity, James M. Tiedje and James R. Cole

^{**} BMC Bioinformatics 2009, 10:421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

BLAST+: architecture and applications

Affiliation Strategy of FROGS

Blastn+ with "Multi-affiliation" management

Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus

Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus saprophyticus

Strictly identical (V1-V3 amplification) on 499 nucleotides

Which one to choose?

Affiliation Strategy of FROGS

Blastn+ with "Multi-affiliation" management

Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus

Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus saprophyticus

Strictly identical (V1-V3 amplification) on 499 nucleotides



Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; **Multi-affiliation**

We cannot choose without preconceived ideas.

Practice:

LAUNCH THE FROGS_5 TAXONOMIC AFFILIATION TOOL

Exercice:


Go to history « 16S » history

Launch the « FROGS_5 taxonomic affiliation » tool with

SILVA 138.1 16S database pintail 100

\rightarrow objectives :


- understand abundance tables columns
- understand the BLAST affiliation



- 1. What are the « FROGS_5 taxonomic affiliation tool » output files?
- 2. How many sequences are affiliated by BLAST?
- 3. How many ASV have a "multiaffiliation" at Order ranks?
- 4. Click on the « eye » button on the BIOM output file, what do you understand?




Use the **Biom_to_TSV tool** on this last file and click again on the "eye" on the new output generated.



FROGS_0 Demultiplex reads Attribute reads to samples in functio FROGS_1 Pre-process merging, denoising and dereplication FROGS_2 Clustering swarm Single-linkage clustering on sequence FROGS_Cluster_Stat Process some metrics on clusters FROGS 3 Remove chimera Remove PCR chimera in each sample FROGS_4 Cluster filters Filters clusters on several criteria. FROGS ITSx Extract the highly variable ITS1 and ITS2 subregions for FROGS 5 Taxonomic affiliation Taxonomic affiliation of each ASV FROGS 6 Affiliation Stat Process some metrics on taxonomies FROGS Tree Reconstruction of phylogenetic tree FROGS Affiliation Filters Filters ASVs on several affiliation criteria FROGS Affiliation postprocess Aggregates ASVs based on alignm FROGS Abundance normalisation Normalise ASV abundance. FROGSFUNC_1_placeseqs_and_copynumbers Places ASVs into a r FROGSFUNC_2_functions Calculates functions abundances in each FROGSFUNC_3_pathways Calculates pathway abundances in each FROGS BIOM to std BIOM Converts a FROGS BIOM in fully compar FROGS TSV to BIOM Converts a TSV file in a BIOM file 1 FROGS BIOM to TSV Converts a BIOM file in TSV file FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Import Data from 3 files: biomfile, samplefil FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Composition Visualisation with bar plot an FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Alpha Diversity with richness plot FROGSSTAT Phyloseg Beta Diversity distance matrix FROGSSTAT Phyloseg Sample Clustering of samples using differe FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Structure Visualisation with heatmap plot a FROGSSTAT Phyloseq Multivariate Analysis Of Variance perform N FROGSSTAT DESeq2 Preprocess import a Phyloseq object and prej FROGSSTAT DESeq2 Visualisation to extract and visualise different

5. Click again on the "eye" on the new output generated.



Now, what do you think about the file format? What does it contain?

6. Observe and describe

- In FROGS BIOM to TSV: abundance_silva.tsv, the different columns of cluster 3
 - a. how would you qualify the alignment between the ASV3 seed and the sequences of the silva database?
 - b. What does it mean e-value = 0?
 - c. What is the header of column that shows the sequence of ASV seed?
 - d. How many sequences have ASV3 in total?
 - e. How many sequences have ASV3 in MVT0.LOT10? What is the sample where ASV3 is absent?

7. Observe and describe

- In FROGS BIOM to TSV: multi_affiliations.tsv, identifies the lines corresponding to cluster3
 - a. Why cluster3 has a multiaffiliation for species?
 - b. Why "Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus sakei" is present 74 times?

Q1: What are the « FROGS_5 taxonomic affiliation tool » output files?

Q2: How many sequences are affiliated by BLAST?


Exercise

Answer 1

21: FROGS_5 Taxonomic affiliation: report.html

20: FROGS_5 Taxonomic affiliation: affiliation_abundance.biom

Answer 2




Answer 3

Q3: How many ASV have a "multiaffiliation" at Order ranks?

Most of ASVs are ambiguous at species rank.

For this study, V1V3 amplicon is not resolutive enough to identify the species.

Blast multi-affiliation summary



2.83% of ASV are ambiguous until Order rank

Answer 4

Q4: Click on the « eye » button on the BIOM output file, what do you understand?

{"id": null, "format": "Biological Observation Matrix 1.0.0", "format_url": "http://biom-format.org", "type": "OTU table" "2023-03-28T11:27:32", "rows": [{"id": "Cluster_1", "metadata": {"comment": [], "seed_id": "17_41", "blast_affiliations": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Lactobacillales", "Listeriaceae", "Brochothrix", "unknown species"], "evalue": "0. "perc query coverage": 100.0}, {"subject": "CP023643.1319711.1321267", "taxonomy": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Brochothrix", "Brochothrix thermosphacta"], "evalue": "0.0", "aln length": 497, "perc identity": 100.0, "perc query cove "CP023483.1387851.1389407", "taxonomy": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Lactobacillales", "Listeriaceae", "Brochot "0.0", "aln_length": 497, "perc_identity": 100.0, "perc_query_coverage": 100.0}, {"subject": "CP023643.1330505.1332061", "Bacilli", "Lactobacillales", "Listeriaceae", "Brochothrix", "Brochothrix thermosphacta"], "evalue": "0.0", "aln length": "perc_query_coverage": 100.0}, {"subject": "CP023483.1398643.1400199", "taxonomy": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Brochothrix", "Brochothrix thermosphacta"], "evalue": "0.0", "aln_length": 497, "perc_identity": 100.0, "perc_query_cove "CP023643.1325108.1326664", "taxonomy": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Lactobacillales", "Listeriaceae", "Brochot "0.0", "aln_length": 497, "perc_identity": 100.0, "perc_query_coverage": 100.0}, {"subject": "CP023643.1248577.1250133", "Bacilli", "Lactobacillales", "Listeriaceae", "Brochothrix", "Brochothrix thermosphacta"], "evalue": "0.0", "aln_length": "perc_query_coverage": 100.0}, {"subject": "CP023483.1393248.1394804", "taxonomy": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Brochothrix", "Brochothrix thermosphacta"], "evalue": "0.0", "aln length": 497, "perc identity": 100.0, "perc query cove "CP023483.1316717.1318273", "taxonomy": ["Bacteria", "Firmicutes", "Bacilli", "Lactobacillales", "Listeriaceae", "Brochot "0.0", "aln_length": 497, "perc_identity": 100.0, "perc_query_coverage": 100.0}, {"subject": "CP023643.722570.724126", "t

The biom file is not a human readable format. It is only very useful for bioinformaticians. To read the abundance table you have to transform the BIOM file in TSV file thanks to BIOM_to_TSV tool.

Q5: what do you think about the TSV file format? What does it contain?

The TSV format: tabular separated Value.
Universal format, ideal for different spreadsheets.

This file contain the abundance table and information about affiliation of ASVs.

#comment	blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	blast_perc_identity	blast_perc_query_coverage
no data	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Lactobacillales;Listeriaceae;Brochothrix;Brochothrix thermosphacta	multi-subject	100	100
no data	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gamma proteobacteria; Enterobacterales; Vibrionaceae; Photobacterium; unknown species	FJ456662.1.1555	100	100
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100	100
no data	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100	100
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Leuconostoc; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100	100
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Streptococcaceae; Lactococcus; Lactococcus piscium	AM943029.1.1242	99.799	100
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Erysipelotrichales; Erysipelotrichaceae; ZOR0006; unknown species	HG792212.1.1536	94.203	100
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Streptococcaceae; Lactococcus; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100	100
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Weissella; Weissella ceti	FN813251.1.1,61	99.799	100

blast_evalue	blast_aln_length	seed_id	seed_sequence	observation_name	observation_sum	BHT0.LOT01	BHT0.LOT03	BHT0.LOT04	BHT0.LOT05	BHT0.LOT06	BHT0.LOT07	BHT0.LOT08
0	497	17_41	GACGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_1	84849	791	402	433	911	1232	653	441
0	492	17_611	ATTGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_2	31333	22	4	23	18	19	20	29
0	520	17_595	GACGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_3	40711	342	70	71	218	81	199	114
0	468	17_257	GACGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_4	22275	146	1251	263	327	180	118	293
0	497	17_4	GATGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_5	29355	1842	217	1243	1799	1623	1374	954
0	497	17_23	GACGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_6	21301	2408	603	1372	2231	2597	2218	1981
0	483	57_5	GATGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_7	15272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	499	17_420	GACGAACGCTGGCGGC	Cluster_8	16252	54	33	51	10	72	1	50
0	497	57_3	TGCAAGTCGAACGCAC	Cluster_9	11525	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Answer 6

a. how would you qualify the alignment between the ASV3 (cluster_3) seed and the sequences of the silva database?

Alignment is perfect! 100% identity and 100% coverage between ASV3 (cluster 3) seed and the 520 nucleotides of sequence from silva database

b. What does it mean e-value = 0?

The expect value is a parameter that describes the number of hits one can "expect" to see by chance when searching a database of a particular size. The lower the e-value, or the closer it is to zero, the more "significant" the match is.

c. What is the header of column that shows the sequence of ASV seed?

Seed_sequence

d. How many sequences have ASV3 (cluster_3) in total?

40711 found in column "observation_sum"

e. How many sequences have ASV3 (cluster_3) in MVT0.LOT10? What is the sample where ASV3 (cluster_3) is absent?

MVT0.LOT10	CDT0.LOT02
4	64
0	1
6722	0
13	0
20	3

We can remark that ASV3 is particularly present in MV samples and rare in CD samples

Answer 7

a. Why ASV3 (cluster_3) has a multiaffiliation for species?

In multi-affiliations.tsv file, for cluster_3, we observe that 75 affiliations are possible for this ASV at species rank.

All strictly equivalent 100% identity and 100% coverage with 75 different sequences of silva database.

ctobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	CP025206.1448122.1449699	100	100	0	520
ctobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	CP020806.1000690.1002267	100	100	0	520
ctobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	CP025839.1959094.1960671	100	100	0	520
ctobacillus;unknown species	KF601977.1.1550	100	100	0	520
ctobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	CP020806.811637.813214	100	100	0	520
ctobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	CP020806.1103805.1105382	100	100	0	520
ctobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	CP020806.1109220.1110797	100	100	0	520

b. Why "Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus sakei" is present 74 times?

Because these are 74 different strains of *L. sakei*. They have blast ID different.

Silva pintail or not pintail?

Pintail* represents the probability that the rRNA sequence contains anomalies or is a chimera, where 100 means that the probability for being anomalous or chimeric is low.

4 ranks of available databases in FROGS: 50 pintail, 80 pintail or 100 pintail or no pintail filter.


```
silva138.1 16S
silva138.1 pintail100 16S
silva138.1 pintail80 16S
silva138.1 pintail50 16S
silva138.1 18S
silva138.1 23S
silva138.1 28S
```




Only for 16S!

^{*} http://aem.asm.org/content/71/12/7724.abstract

Silva pintail or not pintail?





Exemple between silva 138.1 and silva 138.1 pintail 100

130 identical blast best hits on **SILVA 138.1 pintail 100** databank

Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes 6609
Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes 6609
Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes C1
Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes TypelA2 P.acn17
Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes TypelA2 P.acn31
Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes TypelA2 P.acn31
Cluster_4 Bacteria;Actinobacteriota;Actinobacteria;Propionibacteriales;Propionibacteriaceae;Cutibacterium;Cutibacterium acnes TypelA2 P.acn33

Exemple between silva 138.1 and silva 138.1 pintail 100

267 identical blast best hits on **SILVA 138.1 full** databank

pintail

quality

SILVA

sequence sequence alignment

quality

length

1341

Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Corynebacteriales; Corynebacteriaceae; Corynebacterium; unknown species Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Aureobasidium melanogenum Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes 266 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes 6609 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes C1 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes hdn-1 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes HL096PA1 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteria de la Bacteria de la Bacteria; Actinobacteria de la Bacteria; Actinobacteria de la Bacteria; Actinobacteria de la Bacteria de la Bacteria; Actinobacteria de la Bacteria de la Ba Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacte ctinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes SK137 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacte ctinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; unknown species terium;Cutibacterium acnes TypeIA2 P.acn17 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacte Induces a multi-affiliation up to phylum rank Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinopacteria; Propionipacteriales; Propionipacteriaceae; Cutipacterium; Cutibacterium acnes TypelA2 P.acn31 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Actinobacteriota, Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Propionibacteriaceae; Cutibacterium; Cutibacterium acnes TypeIA2 P.acn33 Cluster 4 Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Carnobacteriaceae; Dolosigranulum; unknown species

KF100699

organism name

uncultured bacterium

Bacteria Firmicutes Bacilli...

√ taxonomy

How choose the good affiliation?

Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus		D83374.1.1477	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP007208.2831760.2833315	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP007208.1649831.1651386	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP007208.1426849.1428404	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP007208.1544187.1545742	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus	—	LT963439.723352 2 cho	ices f	or cli	uste	r 64
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP013922.158796	iccs i	Or Cit	aste	1 04
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP013922.2356345.2857902	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP013922.2851139.2852696	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP01.3922.2904966.2906523	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		C-013922.2899760.2901317	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP013922.1470936.1472493	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus		CP013922.1685669.1687226	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus saprophyticus		EU855225.1.1531	100	100	0	499

How choose the good affiliation?

Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	D83374.1.1477	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP007208.2831760.2833315	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP007208.1649831.1651386	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP007208.1426849.1428404	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP007208.1544187.1545742	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	LT963439.723352.724884	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.1587968.1589525	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.2856345.2857902	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.2851139.2852696	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.2904966.2906523	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.2899760.2901317	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Staphylococcales;Staphylococcaceae;Staphylococcus;Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.1470936.1472493	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus xylosus	CP013922.1685669.1687226	100	100	0	499
Cluster_64	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Staphylococcales; Staphylococcaceae; Staphylococcus; Staphylococcus saprophyticus	EU855225.1.1531	100	100	0	499

- you have a preconceived notion
- you are familiar with the environment being studied
- you are looking for specific organisms as pathogens
- you collect bibliographical information

Ex:


Staphylococcus saprophyticus is a bacterium that can cause urinary tract infections in young women

and

Staphylococcus xylosus exists as a commensal on the skin of humans and animals and in the environment. It appears to be <u>much more common in animals</u> than in humans. S. xylosus has very occasionally been identified as a cause of human infection.

Affiliation explorer

https://shiny.migale.inrae.fr/app/affiliationexplorer




A very user-friendly tool, developed by Mahendra Mariadassou and his collaborators (Maiage unit - INRAE Jouy-en-Josas). It allows to modify very simply the affiliations of an abundance table from FROGS.


Affiliation explorer

https://shiny.migale.inrae.fr/app/affiliationexplorer

Demo video



6- Affiliation Stat



Practice:

LAUNCH THE FROGS_6 AFFILIATION STAT TOOL

Exercice:

Go to history « 16S » history

Launch the « FROGS_6 Affiliation Stat » tool on last affiliation_abundance.biom

 \rightarrow objectives :

understand rarefaction curves and the diversity diagram

Exercice:


- 1. Build the **rarefaction** curve on genus rank with the 10 samples that contain the least number of different genus.
- 2. SFT0.LOT06 and MVT0.LOT10 have they been sequenced deeply enough?
- 3. Build the **distribution** on FC samples *i.e.* "Filet de Cabillaud"
- 4. How many sequences are some *Brochothrix thermosphacta*?
- 5. On the total of sequences, what is the proportion affiliated to the Firmicutes?
- 6. Among Firmicutes, how many are Bacilli?
- 7. But what is the proportion of Firmicutes in the total of sequence of all sample?
- 8. How many ASVs are align perfectly with a database sequence?

Answer :

Q1: Build the rarefaction curve on genus rank with the 10 samples that contain the least number of different genus.


Samples	↑↓ Nb domai	in ↑↓ Nb phylum	↑↓ Nb class	↑↓ Nb orde	r ↑↓ Nb family	, 1 N	b genus ↑↓	Nb species	↑↓ Nb sequences ↑↓
SFT0.LOT06	1	4	5	9	14	1.	Sort the	table by	genus number
2. Select	the 10 first	samples	5	12	26	35	5	57	8,821
SFT0.LOT01	1	4	6	13	27	39	Э	63	8,859
FCT0.LOT01	1	5	6	13	24	41	1	96	8,504
SFT0.LOT05	1	5	7	18	32	50)	95	8,728
SFT0.LOT08	1	4	6	13	33	53	3	77	8,788
BHT0.LOT01	1	7	9	20	35	5	3. At th	e bottom	n of the table
SFT0.LOT04	1	6	8	17	34	5 click on		on	
SFT0.LOT03	1	5	8						
SFT0.LOT02	1	6	7	With	With selection: Ge		✓ Misplay	rarefaction	♦ Display distribution
MVT0.LOT10	1	4	5	17	31	57	7	83	9,143
CDT0.LOT02	1	6	8	22	36	58	3	85	8,750

Q2: SFT0.LOT06 and MVT0.LOT10 have they been sequenced deeply enough?




Q2: SFT0.LOT06 and MVT0.LOT10 have they been sequenced deeply enough?






With ~8000 sequences, all genus for this species are represented

Q3: Build the **distribution** on FC samples *i.e.* "Filet de Cabillaud"



Answer 3 4 & 5

Q3: Build the distribution on FC samples *i.e.* "Filet de Cabillaud"




Answer 3, 4, 5 & 6

Q4: How many sequences are some *Brochothrix thermosphacta*?

Q5: On the total of sequences, what is the proportion affiliated to the

Firmicutes?

Q6: Among Firmicutes, how many are Bacilli?




Name	Size	Global %	Parent %		
root	67211				
Bacteria	67211	100.000	100.000		
Firmicutes	20741	30.860	30.860		
Bacilli	20658	30.736	99.600		
Lactobacillales	19871	29.565	96.190		
Listeriaceae	2649	3.941	13.331		
Brochothrix	2649	3.941	100.000		
Brochothrix thermosphacta	2649	3.941	100.000		
Brochothrix thermosphacta nb children: 0					

- 2649 sequences are some *Brochothrix* thermosphacta
- Firmicutes represent ~30% of total of sequences of these samples
- 99.6% of Firmicutes are Bacilli

A table appears


Name	Size	Global %	Parent %
root	67211		
Bacteria	67211	100.000	100.000
Firmicutes	20741	30.860	30.860
Bacilli	20658	30,736	99.600
Lactobacillales	19871	29.565	96.190
Listeriaceae	2649	3.941	13.331
Brochothrix	2649	3,941	100.000
Brochothrix thermosphacta	2649	3,941	100.000

Q7: But what is the proportion of Firmicutes in the total of sequence of all sample?






Q7: But what is the proportion of Firmicutes in the <u>total</u> of sequence of all sample ?



Name	Size	Global %	Parent %			
root	547520					
Bacteria	547520	100.000	100.000			
Firmicutes	342411	62.539	62.539			


Firmicutes represent 62% of Bacteria

Q7: But what is the proportion of Firmicutes in the <u>total</u> of sequence of all sample ?




To focus on Firmicutes,
double click on. After you
can apply color among rank
depth.


Q8: How many ASVs are align perfectly with a database sequence?




210 sequences are aligned with 100% identity and 100% coverage with a sequence of silva.



7- Filters on affiliations



Fill the field only if you want this treatment (--min-blast-length)



Fill the field only if you want this treatment (--min-blast-length)

Possibility to filter for keeping or for ignore ASV according keywords Keyword filters of blast affiliation O No filter O Keep taxa Do you want to keep or ignore blast affiliation; according a keyword "Ignore taxa": all Blast taxonomic Remove blast affiliations including these taxon / word affiliation with the keyword i.e. 1: Remove blast affiliations including these taxon / word Firmicutes will be deleted or hidden Full or partial taxon name unknow species Example: "unknown species" or "subsp." (--ignore-blast-taya) "Keep taxa": only Blast taxonomic 2: Remove blast affiliations including these taxon / word affiliation with the keyword i.e. Full or partial taxon name Firmicutes will be kept Firmicutes Example: "unknown species" or "subsp." (--ignore-blast-taxa) + Insert Remove blast affiliations including these taxon / word Filter on RDP affiliations Ø Possibility to filter on RDP taxonomic affiliation

Not open by default

Careful, it is case sensitive.
Firmicutes it's different of firmicutes!

Practice:

LAUNCH THE FROGS AFFILIATION FILTER TOOL

Exercice:

1. Mask


- 1. all ASV that have not at least 95% identity and 95% coverage with a Silva sequence
- 2. and that are not a *unknown species*

- 2. Explore the report.html
 - How many ASVs remain?
 - How are impacted affiliation?

FROGS Affiliation Filters Filters ASVs on several affiliation criteria (Galaxy ☆ Favorite ▼ Options Version 4.1.0+galaxy1) Sequence file **O** 111: FROGS_4 Cluster filters: clusterFilters_sequences.fasta The sequence file to filter (format: FASTA) Abundance file 115: FROGS_5 Taxonomic affiliation: affiliation_abundance.biom The abundance file to filter (format: BIOM) Taxonomic ranks Domain Phylum Class Order Family Genus Species The ordered taxonomic rank levels stored in BIOM. Each rank is separated by one space (--taxonomic-ranks) Filtering mode O Deleting mode Do you want to delete ASV or hide affiliations? Filter on Blast affiliations **③** Maximum e-value Fill the field only if you want this treatment (--max-blast-evalue) Minimum identity 95 Fill the field only if you want this treatment (--min-blast-identity) Minimum coverage 95 Fill the field only if you want this treatment (--min-blast-coverage) Minimum alignment length Fill the field only if you want this treatment (--min-blast-length)



Filters summary



- 344 ASV are kept without modification
- 38 ASV are kept with modification (see impacted_clusters.multi-affiliation.tsv)
- It's remain 382 ASVs!

42: FROGS Affiliation	Filters: impacted_clusters.multi-affiliations.tsv
Cluster_3	$Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilaceae; Latila$
Cluster_3	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus sakei
Cluster_3	$Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeiing the sakeiing sakeiing the sakeiing $
Cluster_3	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus sakei
Cluster_3	$Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus \ sakeillaceae; Latilaceae; Latila$
Cluster_3	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; unknown species

Exemple: Cluster_3 is an impacted clusters because

- its multi-affiliation "unknow species" was deleted
- but all other affiliation were kept.



To see the content, think to transform the BIOM to TSV file with **BIOM_to_TSV tool**

41: FROGS Affiliation Filters: impacted_clusters.tsv

#comment	status	blast_taxonomy
undesired_tax_in_blast	Affiliation_masked	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gamma proteobacteria; Enterobacterales; Vibrionaceae; Photobacterium; unknown species
undesired_tax_in_blast	Blast_taxonomy_changed	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Multi-affiliation
blast_identity_lt_95.0;undesired_tax_in_blast	Affiliation_masked	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Erysipelotrichales; Erysipelotrichaceae; ZOR0006; unknown species
undesired_tax_in_blast	Blast_taxonomy_changed	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Streptococcaceae; Lactococcus; Multi-affiliation
undesired_tax_in_blast	Affiliation_masked	Bacteria; Fusobacteriota; Fusobacteriia; Fusobacteriales; Leptotrichiaceae; Hypnocyclicus; unknown species
undesired_tax_in_blast	Affiliation_masked	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Carnobacteria ceae; Carnobacterium; unknown species
undesired_tax_in_blast	Affiliation_masked	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gamma proteobacteria; Enterobacterales; Vibrionaceae; Photobacterium; unknown species
undesired_tax_in_blast	Affiliation_masked	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Mycoplasmatales; Mycoplasmataceae; Candidatus Bacilloplasma; unknown species
undesired_tax_in_blast	Blast_taxonomy_changed	Bacteria; Bacteroidota; Bacteroidia; Flavobacteriales; Weeksellaceae; Chryseobacterium; Multi-affiliation

In impacted cluster.tsv

- #comment: the reason(s) why ASV was hidden (or deleted)
- #status: for deleted ASV (or masked ASV), or for ASV with modified consensus taxonomy with affiliation (or multiaffiliation) was modified

Hidding mode

#comment	blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	blast_perc_i	blast_perc_	blast_evalue	blast_aln_le
no data	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Lactobacillales;Listeriaceae;Brochothrix;Brochothrix thermosphacta	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	497
undesired_tax_in_blast	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data
undesired_tax_in_blast	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Latilactobacillus; Lactobacillus sakei	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	520
undesired_tax_in_blast	Bacteria; Actino bacteriota; Actino bacteria; Propioni bacteriales; Propioni bacteriacea e; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation actional propioni bacteria e;	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	468
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Leuconostoc; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	497
no data	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Lactobacillales;Streptococcaceae;Lactococcus;Lactococcus piscium	AM943029.1.1242	99.799	100.0	0.0	497

Deleting mode

#comment	blast_taxonomy	blast_subject	blast_perc_	i blast_perc_	d blast_evalue b	olast_aln_le
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Listeriaceae; Brochothrix; Brochothrix thermosphacta	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	497
undesired_tax_in_blast	Bacteria;Firmicutes;Bacilli;Lactobacillales;Lactobacillaceae;Latilactobacillus;Lactobacillus sakei	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	520
undesired_tax_in_blast	Bacteria; Actino bacterio ta; Actino bacteria; Propioni bacteria les; Propioni bacteria ceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation actional contractions and the propioni bacteria ceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation actional contractions are contracted as a contraction of the propioni bacteria ceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation actional contractions are contracted as a contraction of the propioni bacteria ceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation actional contraction actions are contracted as a contraction of the propioni bacteria ceae; Cutibacterium; Multi-affiliation action	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	468
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Lactobacillaceae; Leuconostoc; Multi-affiliation	multi-subject	100.0	100.0	0.0	497
no data	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Bacilli; Lactobacillales; Streptococcaceae; Lactococcus; Lactococcus piscium	AM943029.1.1242	99.799	100.0	0.0	497

Remark •

In deleting mode, in the abundance table, all information concerning the ASVs affected by the filter are removed (affiliation, metrics and count in the different samples)

Abundance normalization

Abundance normalization


Conserve a predefined number of sequence per sample:

- update Biom abundance file
- update seed fasta file

May be used when:

- Low sequencing sample
- Required for some statistical methods to compare the samples in pairs

Exercise 8



Exercise 8

- 1. Normalize your data from affiliations based on the smallest samples
- 2. Normalize your data on 2000 sequences or less
- 3. Normalize your data on 8000 sequences
- 4. What differences with or without

Q1: Normalize your data from Affiliation based on this number of sequence

FROGS Abundance normalisation Normalise ASV abundance. (Galaxy Version 4.1.0+ galaxy1)
Sequence file
15: FROGS_4 Cluster filters: clusterFilters_sequences.fasta
Sequence file to normalise (format: fasta). (input-fasta)
Abundance file
19: FROGS_5 Taxonomic affiliation: affiliation_abundance.biom
Abundance file to normalise (format: BIOM). (input-biom)
Sampling method
Sampling by the number of sequences of the smallest sample
O Select a number of sequences
Sampling by the number of sequences of the smallest sample, or select a number manually (sampling-by-min)

FST0.LOT02

CDT0.LOT06

DLT0.LOT10

DLT0.LOT07

CDT0.LOT05

BHT0.LOT03

MVT0.LOT05

149

253

222

263

240

135

158

Showing 1 to 10 of 64 entries

The smallest sequenced samples

7,956

8,257

8,331

8,338

8.376

8,377

8,378

Previous

7,956

8,257

8,331

8,338

8.376

8,377

8,378

0

0


0

0

0

0

0



149

253

222

263

240

135

158

0

0

0

0

0


0

0

Thanks to Clusterstat output, you can know what is the size of the smallest sample.
Sort by **Total sequences** *i.e.* 7638 sequences

7638 is the maximal size that you can ask for normalizing the sample sizes.

Q1: Normalize your data from Affiliation based on this number of sequence



Auto-selection of the minimal number of ASVs *i.e.* 7638 sequences

495 ASVs 488832 sequences


The minimum impact of ASV number per sample

Q2: Normalize your data on 2000 sequences or less



Q2: Normalize your data on 2000 sequences or less


Normalisation summary



Normalization at **2000** sequences

495 ASVs 128000 sequences


Normalisation summary per samples



Big impact of ASV number per sample

Q2: Normalize your data on 2000 sequences or less


Normalisation summary



Normalization at **500** sequences


495 ASVs 32000 sequences

Normalisation summary per samples




Very big impact of ASV number per sample

Q3: Normalize your data on 8000 sequences – with option "removing sample"



Q3: Normalize your data on 8000 sequences – with option "removing sample"




FROGS Tree


CREATE A PHYLOGENETICS TREE OF ASVS

FROGS Tree

This tool builds a phylogenetic tree thanks to affiliations of ASVs contained in the BIOM file It uses MAFFT for the multiple alignment and FastTree for the phylogenetic tree.









Tree View

Enabling zoom:




The phylogentic tree in Newick format *i.e.* each mode is represented between brackets. This format is universal and can be used with all tree viewer



Our tree in nhx (= nwk) format


67)0.972:0.02504, (Cluster_468:0.0269, (Cluster_138:0.0016 .782:0.00832,Cluster 277:0.01601)1.000:0.06764,Cluster 4 ter_47:0.13954,(Cluster_166:0.16129,(Cluster_403:0.22934 72:0.01332,(Cluster_400:0.00545,Cluster_473:0.01483)1.00)0.829:0.01282,Cluster_240:0.12227)0.717:0.02027)0.981:0 uster_478:0.00249)0.000:0.00055,(Cluster_193:0.00055,Clu 359, Cluster_484:0.01913)0.880:0.03155)0.993:0.08088)0.45 0989)0.827:0.01144)0.870:0.01235,((Cluster_81:0.08926,Cl 05)0.862:0.00658,(Cluster_303:0.04337,Cluster_398:0.0311 237)0.953:0.01895,(Cluster_346:0.0235,((Cluster_369:0.01 Cluster_402:0.12402,(Cluster_309:0.02202,(Cluster_284:0. .00054, (Cluster_427:0.00054, (Cluster_14:0.00402, Cluster_ 0.791:0.02141,(Cluster_93:0.00054,Cluster_340:0.01463)0. :0.03373)0.847:0.03692,Cluster_406:0.16125)0.831:0.03655 :0.04264)0.321:0.00907)0.487:0.01277,Cluster 129:0.06386 02802)0.763:0.02715,(Cluster_16:0.1183,(Cluster_63:0.062 Exemple of visualization in FigTree from nhx file



Practice:

Exercice:

1. Create the phylogenetic tree that will be used for statistical analyses.



Download your data

In order to share resources as well as possible, files that have not been accessed for more than 120 days are regularly purged. The backup of data generated using of Galaxy is your responsibility.

